### THE JOURNAL

# OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF **BUDDHIST STUDIES**

ERNST STEINKELLNER

### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

A. K. Narain University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

### **EDITORS**

Heinz Bechert Universität Göttingen, FRG

Leon Hurvitz UBC, Vancouver, Canada

Lewis Lancaster University of California, Berkeley, USA Université de Paris X, Nanterre, France

Alexander W. MacDonald

B. J. Stavisky WNIIR, Moscow, USSR

Alex Wayman Columbia University, New York, USA

### ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Stephan Beyer University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

Volume 3 1980 Number 2

# CONTENTS

# I. ARTICLES

1.	A Yogacara Analysis of the Mind, Based on the Vimana Section	
	of Vasubandhu's Pancaskandhaprakarana with Guna- prabha's Commentary, by Brian Galloway	7
2.	The Realm of Enlightenment in Vijnaptimatrata: The Formu-	,
۷.	lation of the "Four Kinds of Pure Dharmas", by Noriaki	
	Hakamaya, translated from the Japanese by John Keenan	21
3.	Hu-Jan Nien-Ch'i (Suddenly a Thought Rose) Chinese Under-	4.1
J.	standing of Mind and Consciousness, by Whalen Lai	42
4.	Notes on the Ratnakūta Collection, by K. Priscilla Pedersen	60
5.	The Sixteen Aspects of the Four Noble Truths and Their	00
<i>J</i> .	Opposites, by Alex Wayman	67
	Opposites, by hier wayman	0.
	II CHORT DA BEDC	
	II. SHORT PAPERS	
1.	Kanişka's Buddha Coins — The Official Iconography of	
	Śākyamuni & Maitreya, by Joseph Cribb	79
2.	"Buddha-Mazda" from Kara-tepe in Old Termez (Uzbekistan):	
	A Preliminary Communication, by Boris J. Stavisky	89
3.	Fausbøll and the Pāli Jātakas, by Elisabeth Strandberg	95
	III. BOOK REVIEWS	
l.	Love and Sympathy in Theravada Buddhism, by Harvey B.	
	Aronson	103
2.	Chūkan to Yuishiki (Mādhyamika and Vijnaptimātratā), by	
	Gadjin Nagao	105
3.	Introduction à la connaissance des hlvin bal de Thailande,	
	by Anatole-Roger Peltier	107
4.	Buddhism, Imperialism, and War. Burma and Thailand in	
	Modern History, by Trevor Ling.	109
<b>5</b> .	Zhongguo foxue yuanliu lüejiang	
	(Brief lectures on the origins and development of Chinese	
_	Buddhology), by Lü Cheng	111
6.	The Jaina Path of Purification, by Padmanabh S. Jaini	112

### IV. NOTES AND NEWS

1.	Minutes of the Joint Meeting of the Executive Committee and the Board of Directors of the 2nd Annual Conference of the IABS at Nalanda, 1979	116
Co	ntributors	118

# Hu-Jan Nien-Ch'ia (Suddenly a Thought Rose): Chinese Understanding of Mind and Consciousness

by Whalen Lai

The Issue: In the Awakening of Faith in Mahāyāna (Ta-ch'eng ch'i-hsin lun, bhenceforth abbreviated as AFM), is found a unique explanation of the origin of avidyā, ignorance:

Hu-jan nien-ch'i, ming wei wu-ming<sup>c</sup> Suddenly a thought rose; this is called ignorance

This idea has baffled many modern scholars as it has traditionally charmed many a Far Eastern Buddhist. What is meant by "suddenly"? What constitutes "thought"? The most recent translator of the AFM, Yoshito Hakeda, has appended this remark to the passage:

There has been much discussion on the meaning of hu-jan in connection with the origin of ignorance, mainly on the basis of interpretations proposed by Fa-tsang, <sup>d</sup> (1) that ignorance alone becomes the source of defiled states of being. It is the subtlest; no other state of being can be the origin of this. It is therefore said in the text that ignorance emerges suddenly. (2) Commenting on a quotation from a  $s\bar{u}tra$ , he says "suddenly" means "beginninglessly," since the passage quoted makes clear that there is no other state of being prior to the state of ignorance. (3) The word "suddenly" is not used from the standpoint of time, but is used to account for the emergence of ignorance without any instance of inception.

...A monk of Minge China, glosses "suddenly" as pu-chüeh, f which may mean "unconsciously" or "without being aware of the reason."

...If hu-jan is a translation of a Sanskrit word, the original word asasmāt may be posited. Akasmāt means "without reason" or "accidentally." 1

The above remark does not actually answer the question of the origin of the concept, hu-jan (suddenly) or the identity of nieng (thought). We become only more aware that hu-jan is one crucial justification for ch'anh (zen) "sudden enlightenment," itself a unique idea. Concerning the meaning of nien and wu-nien (no-thought), I have shown in a related article that (a) Hakeda is not the first repeatedly to read nien as wang-nien, j vikalpa; Sikṣānanda's AFM was bothered by the same term; (b) but both managed to distort the original meaning; for (c) nien is rooted in a peculiar understanding in pre-Buddhist Han China. Nien is the incipient thought, associated with yink that disrupts the otherwise passive, yang, mind. In this present article, I will cite more evidences—this time focusing upon the concepts of shih, consciousness, and hu-jan, suddenness—to show again why the AFM cannot be fully understood without reference to the native mode of thought.

The origin of ignorance is naturally a mystery. The first of the chain of causation (nidānas), avidyā cannot be pushed back to any prior cause. In the AFM metaphor of water and wave, the nien is a result of "the wind of ignorance"; in another place, nien comes after the deluded mind has been so perfumed. "Because Ignorance perfumes Suchness, there is the deluded mind (wang-hsin)n.... The unenlightened nien arises and lets manifest the deluded object-realm."3 These inconsistencies perhaps cannot be avoided. It is part of the peculiar pratityasamutpāda, concomitancy of factors, endorsed by the AFM.<sup>4</sup> Hakeda's explanation draws upon a similar paradox that attends the tathagatagarbha, the embryonic Buddha in all sentient beings. The existence of this enlightened essence in unenlightened men is, by itself, a mystery. The agutaklesa (accidental defilements) on the innately pure mind are as inconceivable as the Buddha-essence itself. This ideology is basic to the AFM understanding of the nature and origin of ignorance, but the more direct precedent is to be found in the innovations in earlier Chinese exegesis. Below we will trace the history of the concept of mind and consciousness from early Chinese Buddhism through the Six Dynasties to the AFM, itself.

A Clue from Shen-hui<sup>o</sup> and Tsung-mi. P The AFM defined much of sinitic Mahāyāna thought that came after. One tradition heavily influenced by it is Ch'an. Although it is not always advisable to use Ch'an as the standard for measuring the AFM (because of the way Ch'an takes liberties with concepts), its more radical pronouncements can help to bring our problem into the open. In the Yu-luq (Recorded Sayings) of Shen-hui and in the Yüan-jen-lun (Essay on Man) by Tsung-mi, we have

two rather intriguing passages holding a clue to the origin of the idea of hu-jan. Shen-hui's question and answer is a bit puzzling on the first reading:

Q. Why is ignorance the same as spontaneity (tzu-jan)?<sup>s</sup>
A: Because ignorance and B. 1.11

A: Because ignorance and Buddha-nature come into existence spontaneously. Ignorance has Buddha-nature as its basis and Buddha-nature has ignorance as its basis. Since one is the basis for the other, when one exists, the other exists also. With enlightenment, it is Buddha-nature. Without enlightenment, it is ignorance.

The hidden reference is to a passage in the Nirvāna sūtra, a familiar one known to Emperor Wu of the Liang dynasty<sup>t</sup> in his essay on Buddhanature. The Chinese took it to imply the interdependence of wisdom and ignorance. The next question in the Yu-lu alludes to a heretical understanding of "spontaneous causation." The Buddha taught that all realities are caused. A doctrine of spontaneity, the self-caused or the uncaused, would violate this basic dictum in Buddhism. The self-caused has been negated by Nāgārjuna along with other fallacies (the other-caused, the together-caused, the uncaused).

Q: If ignorance is spontaneity, is that not identical with the spontaneity of heretics?

A: It is identical with the spontaneity of the Taoists, but the interpretation is different.

Q: How are they different?

A: In Buddhism both Buddha-nature and ignorance are spontaneous. Why? Because all dharmas depend on the power of Buddha-nature. Therefore all dharmas belong to spontaneity. But in the spontaneity of Taoism, "Tao" produced the One. The One produced the two. The two produced the three. And the three produced the ten thousand things." From the One down, all are spontaneous. Therefore, the two interpretations are different.\*

"Spontaneous" ignorance is in reference to the AFM idea of hu-jan nien-ch'i. The association of spontaneous ignorance with Taoist spontaneity suggests a native source to hu-jan. If so, hu-jan might be a version of tzu-jan. The difference between the two naturalnesses is not exactly clear in the Yu-lu, but fortunately we have Tsung-mi's explanation.

In his Yüan-jen-lun, Tsung-mi turns also to the question of the relative superiority of Buddhism vis-à-vis Taosim and Confucianism

over the understanding of "origin." Chinese naturalism is described by him as:

In Confucianism and Taoism, it is explained that all species such as human beings, beasts, etc., are generated from and nourished by the Great Tao of Nothingness. The principle of Tao gives rise to the primal force [ether] which created Heaven and Earth which then created the myriad things [in the sequence of One, Two, Three and the ten thousand things]....<sup>9</sup>

Since everthing is natural, then evil, misfortunes, etc., would also be natural. If everything is so ordained by Heaven, man can only accept his fate (ming). In contrast, even the Hīnayānist would have a better system, namely, recognition of cause and effect. Through such causative analysis, man can change his fate and reverse the nidānas producing suffering. However, Hīnayāna is judged dualistic and, in the end, Tsung-mi returns to the "higher naturalism" of the Hua-yen and Ch'an, basically the monism of Mind found in the AFM. From this higher perspective, what was the one natural Ether in Chinese cosmogony is seen as a self-bifurcation of the One Mind. Reality is, according to the AFM, the transformation of the mind, the manifestation of object-realm out of the evolving consciousness that became the ālaya-vijāāna. The one thought (nien) so splits the One Mind into the dualism of subject and object. Tsung-mi describes the process as follows:

The Great Ultimate gives birth to the two poles (yin-yang). This is the spontaneous Great Tao. The true essence is so understood (in Taoism). However, in fact, it is the one thought  $(i-nien)^x$  (in the mind) that so transmutes itself into the seeing and the seen (i.e., the two poles). The (objective) Original Ether is only the movement  $(tung)^y$  of the one thought (i-nien); it is only the object-realm (to the subjective consciousness).

By introducing *nien* into mind, the Buddhist system can account for duality and yet find a way to eliminating delusion instead of passively tolerating it as the natural fate. This final Mahāyāna teaching allows for but does not endores Hīnayānist dualism. Ignorance may be, in Shenhui's words, "spontaneous," but this "natural" factor is reducible to being an accident in the mind. Buddha-nature is *essential*, as ignorance is *existential*, spontaneity. The latter can be and should be transvaluated into the former, for ultimately Buddha-nature is the sole truth and

reality. Thus, Taoism represents ontological monism and fatalism, whereas AFM represents noetic non-duality and self-transformation. Hence the superiority of the latter.

That clever distinction need not detain us at the moment. It is the characterization of ignorance as spontaneous, tzu-jan, that intrigues us. The term hu-jan is indeed a radical form of tzu-jan. We find a similar term used by Kuo Hsiang<sup>z</sup> in his commentary to the Chuang-tzu. aa Kuo Hsiang had rejected Wang Pi's ab idea that all existent things (yu, ac being) come from non-being. Being cannot be derived from its opposite. Being simply is. There is likewise no Heaven and earth prior to the myriad things; it is not true that the many came from the three, or the three from the two, or the two the one. Rather, the term "Heaven and earth" is the "name for the totality of the myriad things." But if all things simply are, how do they come-to-be at all? Kuo Hsiang simply said "they suddenly are."

Since non-being is non-being, it cannot produce being. Before being itself is produced, it cannot produce other beings. Then by whom are things produced? They spontaneously produce themselves, that is all. (i.e. They suddenly are born.)<sup>12</sup>

The actual expression used for "suddenly" is kuai-jan (erh-sheng) which reads literally "In one chunk (they are born)." Kuai as noun means "a lump, a piece." Jan makes the noun an adverb. In one piece, things are. The noun has been used by Chuang-tzu. Nature is one great kuai. (Creel has rendered it as the "Great Clot"). 13 In rejecting the genesis ex nihilo, Kuo Hsiang gives us a supreme paradox similar to the AFM's idea of a paradoxical hu-jan. Suddenly, a nien arose and ignorance is replete. However, Kuo Hsiang's "naturalism" still falls under Shen-hui's and Tsung-mi's critique of fatalistic monism. In fact, Kuo Hsiang is often judged to be a fatalist, precisely because he equated the given (or jen-wei) ae with the tzu-jan. 14 Therefore, we have to look deeper for a more subtle form of spontaneity, one involving psychology. We will look at three cases of Chinese Buddhist understanding of mind that anticipate the ideas in the AFM. These cases combine Indian insights and Chinese predispositions.

A lay student of the famous monk, Chih Tun, ag Hsi Ch'ao ah (336-377) wrote the Essentials of Faith (Feng-fa-yao) to explain the purports of the Buddhist faith. The text has been translated in full by Eric Zürcher. There are also many insightful remarks in his notes. The more recent Japanese translation has not fully taken Zürcher's work into account. What Zürcher finds to be mistakes in Hsi Ch'ao's reading of basic concepts in Buddhism can also be seen as Sinitic creativity. Some of these ideas recur in the AFM but within a mature structure. It is doubtful that the ideas are Hsi Ch'ao's; they went back to Chih Tun and to Chih Ch'ien ai a century before. One basic "confusion" surrounds the use of the word shih (consciousness).

Shih is An Shih-kao's aj choice for rendering vijnāna, one of the five skandhas. However, there are overlaps with the other skandhas in the Chinese exegesis:

	Sanskrit	An Shih-kao		In the Nidānas
rūpa	form	cheak	color, form	4th member
vedana	perception	yang-t'ung al	itch-pain	7th
saṃjñā	conception	ssu-hsiang <sup>am</sup>	thinking	
saṃskāra	will	sheng-ssu <sup>an</sup>	life-death	2nd
vijñāna	consciousness	shih	consciousness	3rd

The skandhas should be discrete and separate, but Hsi Ch'ao follows a current practice to interpret ssu-hsiang (for samjña) as:

To think in anticipation of what has not yet taken place is ssu; afterwards to recall what has already happened is called hsiang.

This is based on a *yin-yang* bifurcation of functions. He also reads *saṃskāra* (life-death) liberally: it is the birth and death of momentary thoughts.

The incipient hsin-nienao (psychic thought) signals shengap (birth). The miehaq (cessation) of the i-shihar (intention-consciousness) constitutes ssuas (death).<sup>18</sup>

However, the recollection of things past is also associated with karmic retribution. Things can be stored away for ages only to sprout later.

That function is usually given over to the shih, vijnana (consciousness).

By shih is meant what has concerned the mind, then is stored away unforgotten. Shih can sprout in the bosom of men even after kalpas have passed....<sup>19</sup>

Shih can fulfill this karmic function because vijūāna is the item that survives death, if but for a limited time, to be born in the mother's womb for the next rebirth. It is the third of the nidānas, and it carries over the predispositions of the second, hsing (saṃskāra). The twelve are:

```
ignorance \rightarrow action intention \rightarrow consciousness \rightarrow name and form \rightarrow six senses \rightarrow avidyā saṃskāra vijnāna nāmarūpa saḍāyatana contact \rightarrow perception \rightarrow cravings \rightarrow clinging \rightarrow becoming \rightarrow birth \rightarrow old age, death sparsa vedanā tṛṣṇā upādāna bhāva jāti jarā-marana
```

The series resumes at vijñāna after death. Hsi Ch'ao took the further liberty of associating shih with the object of the mind. The six senses (āyatana, fifth of the nidānas) are the five senses plus the mind, manas. Each has its corresponding object. Eyes perceive sight, ears sound, etc., and all are paired to consciousness (vijñāna), i.e., eye-consciousness, etc. Now, Hsi Ch'ao designates, as the object of manas, the shih (consciousness)!

... The mind perceives thought. This thought is the same as the skandha mentioned earlier. 20

This is not warranted in Sanskrit but rather natural to the Chinese. The mind knows; what it knows is knowledge. The word *shih* happens to mean "to know" as well as "knowledge." Therefore *vijnāna* is the object to manas.

Finally, the frequent choice of sheng-ssu for samskāra by An Shih-kao can also be misleading, for its synonym sheng-mieh is used for samsāra, i.e., birth-and-death. In the nidānas, sheng-ssu is defined as the emergence and cessation of mental phenomenon (jāti-nirodha). At other times hsing ( if ) is used for samskāra, sometimes written as hsing ( if ). at Now, rebirth was seen as shih-shen pu-mieh, sui-hsing shou-shen, au and that, upon a cursory reading, is "the spirit is immortal; it would take on a body following its karmic due." This is how it is usually taken. Technically though, it should be read as "the vijnāna does not perish,

but due to the action-intention (the 'grasping after') of the saṃskāra, it would in time be reborn (in the mother's womb) to resume a  $r\bar{u}pa$ ." The overlap of saṃskāra with the Chinese understanding of ssu-hsiang, karmic recollection, is mentioned already. Saṃskāra also overlaps with the Chinese concept of  $i^{av}$  (intention), also used to translate manas.

Strictly speaking, the Chinese should have kept these items apart; samjñā, jāti-nirodha, samsāra, samskāra, vijnāna, etc., are different. From the Chinese liberal point of view, however, they can be justifiably fused. The message then becomes this: "The mind has conscious (shih, vijnana) thought (nien). Thoughts (nien) rise and fall (jati, nirodha) in an instant (nien, for ksana). Ergo, life-and-death (sheng-mieh, samsara) is a correlate of shih (consciousness). As an agent of karmic deeds (hsing, sheng-ssu, samskāra), consciousness is capable of recollecting (hsiang, of ssu-hsiang, samjña) past events after long lapses of time. The shih transmigrates (into the mother's womb). The elimination of nien (thought, momentariness), i.e., wu-nien (no-thought), is the pure state of mind in nirvanic inactivity. The termination of shih (consciousness) likewise liberates." We will find this structure in the Feng-fa-yao, as part of Hsi Ch'ao's exegesis. But we also find it in the AFM, which is supposed to be an Indian sastra. Without going into the whole controversy of the AFM authorship and its redaction to the Siksananada version, I will limit myself first to a comparison of the Feng-fa-yao and the AFM in order to show this legacy of a sinitic psychology.

The Concept of Suddenness, Hu-jan. Not only is the word hu (sudden) actually used by Hsi Ch'ao, but the structure of his explanation of the emergence of delusion in the mind is the same as the one in the AFM. We read:

The sūtra says, "It is mind that creates [determines one's rebirth as] gods, men, hellish beings and animals; it is also mind that attains the Way." All lūaw (anxious pondering) springs from the mind; each and every nien receives its retribution. Although matter (event) has yet to take shape (hsing), ax mysteriously the karmic fate is already set. This is because ch'ing nienay (emotive thoughts) are complete by themselves. Swiftly, abruptly, and suddenly (hu) they appear with no gaps in between. The first stirring (chi-tung) ax may be fine as a hair, but (its result) can eventually fill the universe. Reward and punishment, rebirth in the six paths, are all determined by it. Fortune and disaster, shame and regret, are decided in a moment. Therefore the man of the Way should "be on guard while in solitude." In his mind, he should guard against the tiniest beginning of lū. With the ultimate liba (Principle) as his castle, he commands

over the pen (origin) and thus restrains the mobb (end). He would not, prior to the events or actions taking form (hsing), so very lightly arouse any hsin-nien, mental thoughts.<sup>22</sup> (Italics mine)

The sūtra cited in the beginning is the Parinirvāṇa sūtra (Hīnayāna); its use of the active verb tsobc (create) charmed the Chinese then as the line from the (Mahāyāna) Daśabhūmika ("The three realms are created by the mind") will charm the writer of the AFM. The mind creates the world. The whole piece should be read, however, with this from the Pohu-t'ung, bd the locus classicus for understanding the dynamics of nien and lü, in a discussion on hsing-ching: be "What is meant by nature (hsing) and emotion (ching)? Nature is the workings of yang as emotions that of yin. In the confluence of yin-yang is man born, endowed with the Five Natures (the five moral virtues) and the Six Emotions (joy, anger, grief, happiness, love and hatred). Emotions imply passivity; nature means life. The reception of these procures existence itself." Therefore the Kuo-ming-chüeh [Apocryphal book on the Classic of Filial Piety]—here the Po-hu-t'ung quotes from this text—explains:

Emotion rises from yin; it is desire in accordance with *shih-nien*, <sup>bf</sup> the thought of the moment. Nature comes from yang; it is always in tune with li, the Principle. Yang is considerate; yin seeks gain. Therefore emotions are greedy but nature is directed at common humanity.<sup>23</sup>

Hsi Ch'ao and the AFM drew from this psychology. Hsi Ch'ao's metaphor for karmic impact is taken from the *I Ching*, <sup>bg</sup> already well cited in the period to show that China too knew of psychic retribution. The pairing of *nien* with *shih* (time, moment) and the anchorage of *li* are found in Hsi Ch'ao as in the *Po-hu-t'ung*. A similar message is found in this other passage:

The Vimalakīrti Sūtra says: "All the various dharmas take form (hsing) according to i (intention, thought.) bh" The sign of fortune stirs (within) as the incipient element; the affairs (of the world) respond (without) as the consequent. As a nien rises, there is being (yu). As a lū ceases, there is nonbeing (wu). Where the intention (i) is at rest, all encounters run smoothly. Where the emotions (ch'ing) are obstructed, hazards abound. Therefore it is said that the cause for penetrating all as well as for being impeded lies within and not without... (for) nothing is more manifested than what is hidden.<sup>24</sup> (Italics mine.)

From this, Hsi Ch'ao drew the conclusion that wu-nien is the gate to enlightenment. The term wu-nien, used already in that sense in the Ming-tu<sup>bi</sup> commentary (third century), will become pivotal in the AFM and Ch'an.<sup>25</sup>

### Hsin and Shih in the Prajna Schools

Contemporaneous with Hsi Ch'ao were the early schools of Emptiness. Among them are two that speculated on the emptiness of mind and the illusions of consciousness. The first is the hsin-wubj school of Chih Min-tu, who came south in A.D. 326. As reported later by Chitsang, bk

What it says is that when the *sūtras* state "Various *dharmas* are empty," the *sūtras* only hope that the person would empty his mind so as not to hold onto the empty illusions; therefore it is called "Mind as Empty," *hsin-wu*.<sup>26</sup>

By emptying the mind, realities would be emptied. According to the explanation appended in the *Shih-shuo hsin-yu*, bl others also agreed that the mind, burdened with defilements, sees differentiated realities, whereas a pure mind would reflect all just as a mirror would.<sup>27</sup> Chih Min-tu, bm however, went on to negate even the mind. For that he was much criticized when the majority believed in the existence of an enlightened entity (the luminous *shen* bn).<sup>28</sup>

The other figure holding an idealist interpretation is Yu Fa-kai<sup>bo</sup> who proposed "shih-han." Tang Yung-t'ung<sup>bq</sup> takes a clue from Tsung Ping's Ming-fo-lun<sup>bo</sup> and regards this to mean "shen-han-shih," spirit includes, as its function, the consciousness. <sup>29</sup> Yu Fa-kai's position is reportedly this:

The Three Realms are the abode of the Long Night. The hsin-shih (psychic consciousness) is the primary cause of the Great Dream. What we see as myriad realities are only things in a dream. When one wakes from the dream or when the night finally dawns, then the perverted, deluded consciousness would cease, and the Three Realms will appear as altogether empty.<sup>30</sup> (Italics mine)

Realities are blamed on hsin-shih (mind-and-consciousness, but consciousness is intended here, hsin being only an adjective, i.e. psychic

consciousness). Shih-han should be taken as "(realities) are incorporated under consciousness." It does not mean "consciousness being incorporated under spirit (or mind)," even though the latter ideology is not rejected. For our purpose that ideology is also significant, for here is a hierarchy in which shih is considered to be lower than mind, hsin. The mind is deluded by consciousness.

The subordination of *shih* to *shen* (spirit) is found in Tsung Ping. Tsung Ping followed his master, Hui-yüan. bt Hui-yüan had said:

Shen. . .lies beyond the parameters of the (yin-yang) hexagrams and the (I Ching) emblems, hsiang bu. . . having no master (above it). . . and is beyond all (finite) appellation. Stimulated by things, it becomes active. Using numbers [yin-yang enumerations], it acts, but (being itself above things and numbers) it neither ceases. . . nor ends. Things with feeling can be found via things; things with consciousness can be sought out by numbers. . . . Thus we know: transformations are perceived by feelings but the spirit transmigrates through rebirths. Feeling is the mother of transformations but spirit is the root of feeling. Feeling can react to things but spirit can mysteriously transfer itself. The enlightened one would revert to the pen, the fundamental (spirit) but the deluded ones would (foolishly) chase after things. 31

In Hui-yüan, the pair corresponding to hsin and shih is shen (spirit) and ling (the animated soul). One is higher, passive, while the other is lower, active. It is ling that drags the shen down into the world of things, emotion and change. The logic is similar to the tension between hsin and nien discussed earlier; both use the framework of Han yin-yang thought.

Tsung Ping substitutes shih for ling. This is partly in order to underline the connection that shih has with sattva. Han-shih chih-liubv (the species that has consciousness) is sattva, i.e., sentient beings. It is from shen that shih (sentiency) emerges. The divine mind falls into sentiency.

The alternation of yin and yang [in their differentiated forms] is called the Tao. The [undifferentiated] state prior to yin-yang's being distinguished is called shen, spirit. . . . Following the Tao, shen enters into ching-shen, (the human) spirit, but it remains behind (above) yin-yang, not encompassed by them (not affected by change). . . . Although the spirit of all sentient beings is ideally one, in following the conditions (sui-yüan), bw it wavers and changes to become the various, defiled shih (sentient beings). . . . The spirit (ching-shen) bx took on form (hsing) and populated the

five paths (of samsāra) in infinite numbers during the creation and destruction of the Heaven and the Earth [the kalpas].... The spirit is that which aimates (miao) by the myriad things. If it only exists by virtue of form (body) and ceases to be along with the (mortal) forms, then it would have been subservient to the then primary body. If so, how can spirit be said to be miao (animating) the form?<sup>32</sup>

No, shen is the pen, basis; bodily form is mo, end, and not vice-versa.

The hierarchy of shen/ling, shen/shih, hsin/shih is duplicated in the AFM. In the words of Fa-tsang, "the Suchness mind (chen-ju hsin)bz in following conditions, sui-yüan, becomes the ālayavijāāna." The word sui-yüan is used by Tsung Ping in that sense already. Tsung Ping, of course, did not know about the ālayavijāāna. The AFM does. The question, however, is: how orthodox or strict is the AFM's understanding of the ālayavijāāna?

The AFM contains a unique theory about the evolution of the hsin, i, and i-shih. ca Elsewhere these three would be usually taken to mean ālayavijñāna (citta), manas, mano-vijñāna, but it is clear from the AFM context that the hsin (citta) cannot possibly be the ālayavijñāna; it stands for the Suchess mind (or, rather, that aspect of it involved in saṃsāra, i.e., the ju-lai-tsang hsin, cb the tathāgatagarbha mind). The i is not manas, the seventh consciousness; it is the i (intention) as used by Hsi Ch'ao and others, meaning the "first stirring of mind." The i-shih is even more baffling; it is not mano-vijnāna, but is explicitly identified as ālayavijnāna by the AFM. The commentators of the AFM tried, but no objective scholarship has yet been able to establish the correlation of the AFM psychic scheme with the one used in Indian Yogācāra. 33

Briefly, the AFM evolution of mind goes through first five i (intentions) to become the i-shih or ālayavijāāna. First, there is karmic action that upset the inactive mind; the mind evolves; then it projects (reality); then it knows. From this fourth i, it is said that "corresponding to nien (thought), the mind is continuing, hsiang-hsü, cc with no end." This sequence is actually an expansion of the one found in Hsi Ch'ao. The mind at first is passive, until karmic forces move it. Stirred, it moves outward and begins to create things. Forms rise out of its formless i (intentions). As objects now appear, there is subject-object knowledge, in the mode of thought, nien. Nien, however, implies kṣaṇa (nien-nien), and so as thoughts follow thoughts, there is continuity (nien-nien hsiang-hsü). cd The result is the fifth i of hsin, the i-shih, known as the hsiang-hsü hsin, the continuous mind, i.e. citta-santāna (mental continuum). This,

says the AFM, is the ālayavijāāna. Citta-santāna was originally a concept denoting the continuity of mind, or stream of consciousness that is impermanent and a series of kṣaṇas. For the AFM to so reduce ālayavijāāna might be a little oversimplifying. The AFM description of the hsiang-hsü goes:

The fifth (in the series of psychic emanations) is called the hsiang-hsü-shih (continuity consciousness, a form of hsin, Mind). As the various nien (thoughts) mutually respond (to one another), therefore (the Mind) hsiang-hsü (continues) with no end. (This shih) can retain all the good and evil karma of infinite past live with no omission; it can bring forth the painful and joyous retribution, past or present, with no mistake. It makes one suddenly recall things gone by or present and in our delusions makes us anxious over future things. . . . What is called i-shih (same as shih, consciousness) is this continuity mind. 35

The function of this *i-shih* or "ālayavijñāna" is basically the same as the vijñāna-samjñā in Hsi Ch'ao. No wonder that the ālayavijñāna is the shih in the AFM triad of hsin, i, and *i-shih*. As the karmic consciousness (born in the womb), it carries over the samskāra from one life to another. As samjñā (ssu, hsiang in their divided functions), it recalls things and anticipates things. The AFM only paraphrased Hsi Ch'ao's psychology.

### Ch'eng-Shihce Masters' Speculation of Citta-santana

What is absent in Hsi Ch'ao but present in the AFM is the concept of citta-santāna. Although the Buddhist in the third and fourth century already knew of kṣaṇa and the momentary nature of thought, the first sign of interest in the details of the mental process emerged only after Sanghadeva's introduction of Sarvāstivāda. Hui-yüan so posed problems of discontinuity to Kumārajīva. What is available to the AFM but not to Hsi Ch'ao is the water-and-wave metaphor of the Lankāvatāra sūtra. The metaphor has been somewhat subtly changed by the AFM. Furthermore, the metaphor is used by the AFM to handle an issue not central to the Lankāvatāra sūtra: the problem of the continuity and discontinuity between mind and consciousness. This passage in the AFM well demonstrates this concern:

Q: If the mind ceases to be, then what becomes of continuity? If

continuity remains, then what is being extinguished?

A: The cessation is only the cessation of the forms of the mind (the waves), not the cessation of the essence of mind (the water). This is comparable to the wind (ignorance) lotherwise invisible] taking on forms of movements vis-à-vis (the wave-forms) the water. If the water (mind) ceases to be, then the forms of wind (changes of consciousness and phenomena) will end, for there would be nothing on which they can rely (to become "visible"). Because the water does not cease to be, therefore the forms of wind can continue. It is only the wind (ignorance) that ceases. Accordingly, the movements cease. The water itself does not cease. . . . It is only that as folly ceases to be, the forms of the mind also cease. The wisdom of the mind itself does not cease. 36

This problem of water and wave is the problem of substance and function (t'i-yung). Cf T'i-yung affirms both the permanence of the water and the variability of the waves. It is a solution to a predicament Chinese found when faced with the doctrine of momentariness (kṣaṇavāda). The AFM even shares the concern expressed by Emperor Wu of the Liang dynasty: "If there is no substance (of mind), there would not even be sentient beings. If there is nothing lasting for them to rely on, all things will then be extinguished." The Emperor and the AFM both confront the hypothetical questioner, saying that it is only the form of mind (consciousness) that ceases, not the basis of mind that supports all things. The idea of a substratum, alien to early Buddhism, has by then been reconfirmed by the tathāgatagarbha philosophy, and given even more positive readings by the Chinese. At times, the Chinese verges upon satkāryavāda and t'i-yung seems more like the Hindu bhedābheda.

The AFM choice for the water-and-wave metaphor, a la t'i-yung, should well be seen as the final Chinese success at a format to handle the paradox of the continuity of mind and the discontinuity of consciousness. If so, it comes at the end of a search for the perfect analogy. The question of hsiang-shü was one raised and answered by the Ch'eng-shih (Satyasiddhi) masters in the South in the early sixth century. Hsiang-hsü chia<sup>cg</sup> (the falsehood due to continuity) is one of the three chia (false, for false name, chia-ming, ch prajūapti) considered by the Ch'eng-shih tradition. Causation is one chia, provisional reality: a man is false because he is a bundle of shandhas. Relativity or mutual dependence is another chia, nominal reality: fatherhood and sonhood, being dependent upon one another, are not absolute, and thus nominal. The

Ch'eng-shih school excelled in the causative analysis; San-lun (Mādhya-mika) explored more the idea of interdependence. However, it is hsiang-hsü that posed the greatest challenges. In what sense is this chia?

The three grand masters of the Liang dynasty each had an opinion.

### Chuang-yen ci

As one nien (thought) ceases, something is carried over to the next. This is like "transferring light from one candle to another," the flame living on to the next.

### K'ai-shan cj

A former nien turns into a succeeding one "temporally" with no essential change in essence, like "rolling and unrolling the same lotus leaf.'

### Kuang-tseck

One *nien* succeeds another with no ontological continuity, like "dripping droplets giving the impression of a flowing stream.<sup>38</sup>

The first metaphor is the most basic; it has already been applied to the continuity of rebirths. The third denies any real continuity; the whole (the continuous stream of water) is a fiction created by the observer; in truth there is only the discontinuity of droplets in momentary (kṣaṇa) succession. I would have thought that the third one is closer to the Indian norm. However, the Chinese preferred the second one: the rolling and unrolling of the same lotus leaf. What that seems to endorse is the reality of the object (the lotus leaf) and the attribution of its apppearance and disappearance (the rolling and the unrolling) to the subjective nien, thought. It is our perception that "packs and unpacks" reality; reality as such remains the same.

Among the Ch'eng-shih masters, the first chia—that of causality—is the most fundamental. It was then taken as the t'i (substance) of the mundane truth, samurti-staya on the assumption that mundane (samaric) realities are causative. The above chia—that of continuity—was seen as the function, yung, derived from the t'i. Only because there are causes and conditions that there is the chia of continuity (better, discontinuity) in the succession of nien (momentary thoughts). There was some disagreement over the status of the other chia—the falsehood of relativity. Chuang-yen regarded it as purely nominal (ming), cl that is, further superimposed and not directly warranted by causality, i.e., causality by itself does not suggest the relativity (of old age and youth). K'ai-shan, on the other hand, thought that relativity is just another functional (yung) aspect. Both, however, utilized causality to break the seeming reality of mundane truth so as to reveal its emptiness, and by

so doing, align this emptiness (at the mundane level) with the Emptiness of the Highest Truth.

In light of this prior interest in continuity in China, the solution offered by the AFM—the ingenious use of the water-and-wave metaphor to handle the subsistence of t'i (water) and the indissociably dynamic aspect of its yeng (waves)—is a sinitic solution to a sinitic problem. This aspect of the AFM understanding of nien (as citta-santāna) supersedes the more primitive reflections of the Po-hu-t'ung and the apologetics of Hsi Ch'ao.

Conclusion: The idea of hu-jan nien-ch'i (suddenly a thought rose) used to explain the genesis of avidya, ignorance, involves a classic intellectual impasse, a paradox to resolve the paradox of the uncaused first cause. The Chinese leaned toward hu-jan because of the native tradition of natural genesis, tzu-jan, in Taoism. However, Taoist tzu-jan is a "single-cause" explanation that would easily recommend a fatalistic acceptance of the what-is. Insofar as Buddhism is a religion or philosophy of self-transformation, it has to go beyond that "naturalism." Insofar as Mahayana cannot endorse any final duality (such as Hinayāna's samsāra and nirvāna), China had to come up with a psychic monism, an idealism of the One Mind, that can be simultaneously the cause of delusion as well as the basis for enlightenment. The intrusion of the active nien into the passive mind, and the reversal of it by wu-nien (no-thought), are the preferred solution to the AFM. The ideology of nien is pre-Buddhist; the acknowledgement of the hu (suddenness) mystique in the transition from passivity to activity is also sinitic. Even though we might find similar emphases in Indian Buddhist thought, for example, in the recognition of the subtlety of the subconscious will, the cetana, etc., nevertheless the fuller structure of thought—the reliance on t'i-yung to resolve the tension seen between the changeless mind and the continuity consciousness—tells of a more immediate, Chinese Buddhist exegetical inspiration.

#### NOTES

- 1. Yoshito Hakeda, trans., Awakening of Faith attributed to Asvaghosa (New York: Columbia, 1967), pp. 50-51; passage on "suddenly a thought rose..." in Taishō Daizōkyō (henceforth T.) 44, p. 577c of the Paramārtha text, interestingly edited off in the Siksānanda text, T. 44, p. 586a. See note 2 below.
- 2. Whalen W. Lai, "A Clue to the Authorship of the Awakening of Faith: Sikṣānanda's Redaction of the Word Nien'."
  - 3. See T. 44, pp. 576c, 577a; Hakeda, trans. cit., pp. 41, 55-56.
- 4. Water (Suchness), Wind (ignorance) and waves (form of consciousness, as well as ignorance, alias saṃsāra) are all concomitant. See brief explanation in Whalen Lai, "Ch'an Metaphors: Waves, water, mirror, lamp," Philosophy East and West, 29.3 (1979), pp. 246-48.
- 5. Translated in my "An Essay on the Immortality of the Soul by Emperor Wu of the Liang Dynasty," *Journal of the American Oriental Society* (forthcoming).
  - 6. Madhyamika-karika.
  - 7. Lao-tzu, ch. 42.
- 8. Translation from Wing-tsit Chan, A Source Book of Chinese Philosophy (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1963), p. 443.
- 9. Translation with slight changes from Theodore de Bary et al ed., The Buddhist Tradition (New York: Random House, 1969), p. 182. For more exact translation, see Peter Gregory's Harvard doctoral dissertation (near completion).
  - 10. See T. 44, p. 577bc; Hakeda trans. op. cit., pp. 46-50.
  - 11. De Bary ed., op. cit., does not translate this section in small prints.
- 12. Translation taken from Wing-tsit Chan, op. cit., p. 328, under section 11. Bracketed addition mine.
  - 13. H. G. Creel, What is Taoism? (Chicago: Univ. of Chicago, 1970), ch. II.
- 14. The oft-cited example is Kuo Hsiang's reversal of Chuang-tzu's dictum: the ring men put on the nostril of the buffalo is now seen as tzu-jan.
- 15. As appendix B to chapter three in his *The Buddhist Conquest of China* (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1959), I, pp. 164-76, with notes in II, pp. 372-8.
  - 16. Gumyöshu kenkyü (Kyoto: Kyoto Univ., Jimbun kagaku kenkyüsho, 1974).
- 17. Concerning Chih Ch'ien, judgement is based on my study on the running commentary to the *Ming-tu-ching*, chapter one (T. 8, pp. 478-82), probably a work of his student reporting the "master's" opinion.
- 18. Translation of this and above two lines cited mine, from T. 44, p. 86c; Zürcher overlooks the significant structure of the passage here, see his trans., op. cit., I, p. 166.
  - 19. Ibid., translation mine.
  - 20. Translation mine; see Zürcher, ibid., p. 167 and note 46 in II, p. 376.
- 21. From ongoing study of the *shen-pu-mieh* controversy, focusing on Tsung Ping's *Ming-fo-lun* and earlier (pre-420) reflections in China.
  - 22. Translation mine; see Zürcher, op. cit., p. 167 where the link is overlooked.
- 23. Translation mine; see translation by Tjan Tjoe Som of this work by Pan Ku (32-92), Po Hu Tung (Leiden: Brill, 1949-52), 11, p. 565.
  - 24. Translation mine; see Zürcher, op. cit., p. 172. Italics mine.
  - 25. See note 17, finding partly reported in essay mentioned in note 2.

- 26. For convenience, the following citations can be found in Tang Yung-tung, Han Wei liang-Chin Nan-pei-chao Fo-chiao-shih (Peking: Chung-hua reissue, 1955), p. 270 for this citation.
  - 27. Ibid.
- 28. *Ibid.*, p. 267. In my judgement, a much-maligned figure; he is the only Prajñā-ist that truly realized the fallacy of ātmagrāha.
  - 29. Ibid., p. 265. His judgement is misguided; see below.
  - 30. Ibid., p. 264; passage cited from Chi-tsang's Chung-lun-so.
  - 31. Translation mine, from T. 52, p. 31c.
  - 32. Translation mine, from T. 52, pp. 9c-10a.
  - 33. See Hakeda's note in his translation cited, p. 47.
- 34. T. 44, p. 577b; Hakeda, *ibid.*, pp. 48-49. Actually the AFM repeats itself, for here it has mentioned a *hsiang-hsü-i* (continuous *'manas'*) just prior to its mention of a *hsiang-hsü-shih* (continuous '*niṇāna*'). In one place, the Śiksananda translation gives *i* for *i-shih*.
  - 35. Ibid.
  - 36. My translation from T. 44, p. 578a; compare Hakeda, op. cit., p. 55.
  - 37. See T. 52, p. 54bc, and note 5 above.
  - 38. Summary of positions found in Tokiwa Daijo, Shina Bukkyō no kenkyū.

### Chinese Glossary

		/	
a 忽然念起	aa 射子	ba 理	ca 心意意識
b 大乘起信籲	ab 王弼	bb 本末	cb 如來數心
c 名爲無明	ac रा	bc 作	cc 相續
d 法藏	ad 物塊然而生	bd 自虎通	cd 念念相續
e 明	ae 人爲	be 性情	ce 成實
f 不觉	af 奉法要	bf 時念	cf 糖用
g 念	ag 支遞	bg、幼經	cg 假名
h 禅	ah 椰超	bh 随意生形	ch 開普
i 無念	ai 支謙	bi 明度經	ci 相續假
j 妄念	aj 安世高	bj 心無	cj #LNK
k 陰	ak 色	bk 吉蔵	ck 光澤
ì 😝	al <b>療</b> 滿	bl 世說新語	cl 名
m 識	am 思想	bm 支 度	
n 妄心	an 生死	bn 神	
o 神會	ao 心念	bo 于法例	
p宗密	ap 生	bp 識含	
q 語鉄	aq 減	bq 湯用形	
r 原人論	ar 意識	br 宗炳	
s 自然	as 死	bs 明佛論	
t 架武帝	at 智 行 ,	bt 慧遠	
u 道	au 識神不滅隨行受身	bu 象	
V úp	av 🕸	bv 含識之類	
W華厳	aw 🕸	bw 隨緣	
x 🏖	ax 形	bx 精神	
y <b>®</b> ∪	ay 情念	by 😾	
z 郭象	az 機動	bz 真如心	