Does Even a Rat Have Buddha-Nature?
Analyzing Key-Phrase (Huatou) Rhetoric for the Wu Gongan

Abstract

The Wu Gongan is primarily known for its minimalist expression based on Zhaozhou’s “No” (Wu) response to a monk’s question of whether a dog has Buddha-nature. Crucial for the key-phrase (huatou) method of meditation of Dahui Zonggao, the term Wu is not to be analyzed through logic or poetry. However, an overemphasis on the nondiscursive quality overlooks sophisticated rhetoric through metaphors used for the anxiety of doubt caused by Wu undermining conventional assumptions that is compared to a cornered rat; and the experience of enlightenment generated by the power of Wu likened to a sword cutting through all delusions.

I. The Function of Rhetoric in Wu Gongan Discourse

The Wu Gongan (Japanese [Jap. hereafter] Mu Kōan) is surely the single most famous gongan record in the extensive Chan Buddhist textual repertoire. Included as the first case in the prominent Gateless Gate (Wumenguan) collection, the dialogue about the spiritual capacity of a dog has long served as the mainstay of the literature and meditative practice of nearly all schools of Chan/Zen in China and throughout East Asia. Hundreds of commentaries have been composed in prose and verse on the significance of Zhaozhou’s response, in addition to manifold volumes of instructions based on oral teachings written about types of meditation practice associated with using the term Wu. According to most interpretations, particularly stemming from the approach of twelfth-
century master Dahui Zonggao 大慧宗杲 (1089–1163) of the Linji 臨濟 school, an emphasis on contemplating Wu is notable for forgoing the need for conventional discourse by directing a practitioner’s single-minded focus to the meaning of nothingness and silence.¹

For Dahui, the term Wu, which literally means “does not have” but implies negation surpassing the dichotomy of existence and nonexistence, is not to be analyzed in a logical way in relation to doctrine, as in the teachings of traditional Chinese Mahayana Buddhist schools, or through poetic rhetoric typical of some earlier forms of Chan writings about gongan. Dahui understands Zhaozhou’s Wu reply neither as the straightforward denial of “there is no Buddha-nature” in opposition to an affirmation that “there is Buddha-nature” nor as a higher sense of nonbeing. He interprets Wu as a key phrase (huatou 話頭) representing absolute negation beyond having or existence and not having or nonexistence. The key phrase is a transliteral vehicle that becomes a shortcut path to spiritual awareness when it is the basis of an intense contemplative experience through the method of gongan-investigation Chan (kanhua Chan 看話禪) conducted each and every moment of the day.

The Wu Gongan is also referred to as the “no-word” (wuzi 無字, alternatively: the “word no”) case because it defies articulation or examination. Unlike some Chan texts that feature elaborate literary embellishments based on allusions and wordplay, particularly in the seminal gongan collection titled the Blue Cliff Record (Biyanlu 《碧巖錄》) that was composed by Yuanwu Keqin 圓悟克勤 a century before, the Gateless Gate’s Wu Gongan is celebrated by adherents of Dahui’s method of meditating with the key phrase as a succinct punch line that triggers a spontaneous experience of awakening. Based on this standpoint, the Wu Gongan is often known as the “heart of Oriental Nothingness” because its compact manner of expression encompassing contradictions epitomizes the essential features of minimalism, ineffability, and spontaneity underlying the fundamentally ironic style of discourse in Asian thought.²

However, I argue that an overemphasis on the single syllable Wu as symbolic of transcendental negation misses a larger point about the important role that rhetoric plays in Wu Gongan discourse. The key phrase is a complex meditative technique involving a sequence of stages in the religious quest that was developed to appeal to an audience of both monks and lay practitioners among the elite class of scholar-officials (shidafu 士大夫) during the Southern Song dynasty who were intrigued by its possibilities for self-examination and self-realization. Therefore, rhetorical skill has long been useful and necessary in order to explicate the dynamic, twofold pattern of the path to enlightenment attained through the Wu Gongan.
The first stage of the path involves realizing and overcoming profound doubt through struggling with the challenges of Wu that undermine existential certainty and security and lead to an excruciating and all-encompassing sense of anxiety, which often manifests through physical as well as emotional symptoms. This stage is referred to as the Chan sickness or the “illness of emptiness” (kongbing 空病) because it resembles some types of disease, particularly tuberculosis, and it is also depicted in terms of the legal metaphor of suffering guilt for transgressions committed. The second stage represents the experience of a sudden breakthrough to a realm of thinking beyond ordinary logic and language based on the illuminative power of Wu to strike like a sword cutting through all attachments and delusions. While this paradoxical outlook of affirmation-through-negation has been duly noted by traditional commentators as well as modern scholars, there is often a tendency on their part to stress the role of nothingness as an end in itself about which, to make a philosophical pun, nothing much can be said other than delineating what cannot be put into words.

My aim, instead, is to piece together and highlight diverse rhetorical elements expressing the two main stages of the key-phrase method that reveal the depth and complexity, rather than simplicity, of Wu Gongan discourse using the key-phrase technique. The crucial component of this rhetoric captures the role of personal spirituality that is willing and motivated to take great psychological risks in order to gain the heights of religious truth. Many dozens of monastic in addition to non-monastic practitioners have given ample testimony for nearly a thousand years as to the devastating capacity of Wu to make one feel like a cornered rat or a man hanging from the edge of a cliff before revealing the tremendously productive qualities of liberation embedded in the key phrase once the veil has been lifted.

II. Dahui’s Approach Based on Abbreviation

According to the main rendition of the case record, which is extant in numerous variations, “When a monk asked master Zhaozhou, “Does a dog have Buddha-nature or not?,” he replied, “No.” To clarify the function of negation in the key-phrase method, it is important to consider how Wu represents a deliberately puzzling and contradictory reply to an anonymous unenlightened monk’s question that evokes a seemingly sardonic view of one of the most basic and far-reaching theoretical issues in Mahayana Buddhism. Based on a famous passage from the Nirvana Sutra, which was introduced into China in the early part of the fifth century, the doctrine of an all-pervasive Buddha-nature encompassing sentient and, for some interpretations, insentient
beings as well became the central tenet supported by the major scholastic schools of the Tang dynasty. These included the Dilun, Huayan, Sanlun, and Tiantai schools, in addition to the then fledgling and more practice-oriented Chan and Pure Land movements.4

In basic Chinese Buddhist teachings derived from the sutras, everything has Buddha-nature or the potential to attain awakening. The aim of the Wu Gongan, however, is to disregard and dispense altogether with the results of longwinded doctrinal debate. The case is studied not for exploring the ramifications of abstract theory, but because it captures in a single word the heart of Zen/Chan as an ineffable transmission that eliminates cogitation and rhetoric at the root by not relying on any particular manner of deliberation or phrasing.

Among the variety of Tang-dynasty Chan dialogues that were adapted into gongan by Fenyang in the early eleventh century, questioning the teaching of sutras is listed as one of eighteen kinds of cases. However, Fenyang does not cite the Wu Gongan as an example of this category but rather an exchange in which Shoushan is asked, “All beings have the Buddha-nature, so why do they not know of it?” and the master replies, “They know.”5 As an intriguing variation on that theme, there is a subdialogue of one of the many versions of the Wu Gongan in which Zhaozhou indicates incongruously that the reason dogs do not have Buddha-nature is that they possess an awareness of karmic causality. These examples suggest that knowledge of true reality is not sufficient for spiritual attainment because this capacity implies an affliction or defilement. The irony is that cognizance of karma is precisely what defines a human’s distinctive feature in comparison with all other beings and, thus, represents the key to his ability to realize enlightenment.

Does a dog, which at that time in Chan discourse was primarily known as a scavenger or guard animal but not necessarily a domesticated pet or man’s best friend (even though there may have been some affection for the creature based on its loyalty), know that it is a dog? To what extent does the reference to a lowly canine seek to divulge indirectly the inquirer’s personal sense of insufficiency and reveal his unconscious acknowledgment of a lack of self-worth? In other words, does the image of a dog, which is known to chase mindlessly after a clod of earth or a rock that is tossed while failing to go after the real prey, function as a metaphor for deficient understanding?6

Dahui advises followers not to dispute but to blissfully ignore such concerns in following the course of action that must be taken to identify and escape from typical conceptual snares and pitfalls by avoiding
misunderstandings of Wu. In an example of key-phrase injunctions he writes:

This one character is the rod by which many false images and ideas are destroyed in their very foundations. To it you should add no judgments about being or nonbeing, no arguments, no bodily gestures like raising your eyebrows or blinking your eyes. Words have no place here. Neither should you throw this character away into the nothingness of emptiness, or seek it in the comings and goings of the mind, or try to trace its origins in the scriptures.7

In this passage, the disciple is taught how not to misrepresent the meaning of Wu. Dahui also urges the trainee to avoid the extremes of becoming either dull (hunchen 昏沉) or agitated (diaoju 掉舉), which are considered “two kinds of diseases” that disturb body and mind.8 These instructions were later formalized by Korean Zen followers into a list of ten defects to be eliminated through meditation.9 A verse commentary by a monk named Jingshan Gao 疏山如 further warns against making any effort to try to interpret the significance of Mu from a rational perspective: “The dog has no Buddha-nature,/[Zhaozhou’s] compassion is like a deep ocean./Those who try to dissect the saying line by line/Destroy their ability to understand it.”10

The approach of Dahui, who spent prolonged periods of his career in exile in the malarial south as a punishment for insubordination by imperial authorities, was formulated in an era during the Southern Song of intense competition among rival schools, both Buddhist and non-Buddhist, while strict government supervision of all religious movements was undertaken. Dahui’s lineage was critical of other forms of Chan, including the supposedly passive meditative style of the Caodong 曹洞 school. A disciple of Dahui adamantly points out that the threat of dullness disrupts all those who attempt to gain awakening through the school’s path of silent-illumination (mozhao Chan 默照禪): “Practice in the Caodong school was very dense and obscure,” he reports, “and therefore after meditating this way for ten or twenty years people still did not succeed. Thus, it was difficult for them to find heirs [to their tradition].”11

The Caodong school was not the only target of criticism. Although it is not clear whether reports that Dahui burned the xylographs of the Blue Cliff Record composed by his own mentor are accurate, proponents of the key-phrase method presume that the collection deserved to be eliminated and argue that some supporters of the text (possibly including its creator) would in the final analysis have agreed with this assessment.12 A passage in the Precious Lessons from the Zen Forests (Ch. Chanlin Baoxun 《禪林寶訓》) from around 1180 contains a Linji-Huanglong stream monk’s (Xinwen Tanben 《心聞善貞》) reflections on the regrettable condition of the Chan school because of
an overreliance on literary studies of gongan cases. For Xinwen, this approach created a desperate situation in which monks were consistently misled by false expectations. During his travels to Fujian province in the 1130s, Dahui saw firsthand the way students were being pulled in the wrong direction:

Day and night, he pondered the fate of these students until finally he felt sure about the correct course of action to be taken. Dahui smashed the woodblocks and tore up the words [of the Blue Cliff Record] so as to sweep away delusion, rescue those who were floundering, get rid of excessive rhetoric and exaggeration, and destroy the false teachings and reveal the truth.13

Another passage refuting rival viewpoints from Precious Lessons from the Zen Forests shows that Dahui’s successor Wanan 萬安 criticizes gongan practices involving dialogues held in the Dharma Hall (shangtang 上堂), the rite of “entering into the room” (rushi 入室) of the abbot for special instruction, and the testing of levels of understanding through other kinds of gongan inquiry and commentary held on the monastery grounds. All of these are legitimate and useful techniques, if authentically followed. The main problem is that they generally lead away from, rather than toward, an experience of awakening by fostering either an obsession with negativity through sitting quietly for too long a time without reaching Samadhi or a mechanical clinging to words lacking insight. Dahui refers to the drawback underlying deficient practices as a matter of failing to bridge the gap between an actually limited yet ideally limitless mind, which he says “is like trying to scoop up the entire ocean with a small calabash.”14

One might expect that supporters of the Ur Version would use as little rhetoric as possible, and indeed that seems to be the entire point of the key-phrase method’s manner of isolating concise yet pungent critical terms from a case record. Perhaps the most prominent example of a deliberately extreme form of abbreviation based on single-minded focus on one word is by the author of the Gateless Gate, who reports that he spent six long years contemplating the Wu Gongan before gaining enlightenment. In a brief poem contained in the final fascicle of his recorded sayings that is often referred to as the “twenty Wus” (ershi Wu 二十無), Wumen Huikai 《無門慧開》 evokes the technique of concentrating one’s whole body and entire spirit on the key phrase. The word is repeated for emphasis in four lines with five characters each, thus more or less following a traditional Chinese poetic form that is used in much Buddhist poetry, including sometimes elaborate gongan collection commentaries:15 “No, No, No, No, No/No, No, No, No, No, No, No, No, No/No, No, No, No, No/No, No, No, No, No.”16
Ideally, Wumen’s verse speaks for itself, without a need for further remarks or any additional elaboration. However, in order to stay free from nihilistic implications and also to acknowledge the workings of the unenlightened human mind which cannot help but seek an articulation of ideation, it must be acknowledged that Wu as a discursive unit is allusive and referential just as much as it is elusive and reverential. Seeing or hearing this thought-provoking word, which evokes precursor notions of emptiness and nothingness in Mahayana Buddhist and Daoist philosophies, is bound to stimulate cogitation. Therefore, some form of expression can and must be used to clarify the meaning of the key phrase, even if this is understood as a skillful means to be discarded once its utility is exhausted.

III. The Power of Personalization

In that vein, Dahui and followers articulate the basic ingredients of key-phrase practice through a series of metaphors capturing two main levels of transformative personal experience. These include the anguishing experience of doubt and the psychophysical suffering it causes as well as the limitless capacity of Mu Wu? to conquer illusion and break open once and for all the gateless barrier to awakening. In key-phrase discourse, the determination to overcome anxiety is likened to hanging on the brink of life and death while summoning all 360 bones of one’s skeleton and 84,000 pores of the skin in order to wrestle with the red-hot iron ball symbolizing the sensation of doubt.17

The Gateless Gate also compares the explosive conversion of sudden enlightenment to a candle lit with a single spark, as well as the intimacy and silence of a mute person awakening to reality but unable to put it into words. This leads to full freedom marked by commingling one’s own eyebrows with those of Chan ancestors, including Zhaozhou in a state of joyous nirvana, while also being willing, in an allusion to art of war stories, to snatch away a general’s famous weapon in order to slay the Buddha symbolically when needed.

Discourse based on personal experience was initiated in the context of Song-dynasty intellectual life that fostered a new focus on individualism as well as the need to wrestle with internal demons in order to achieve a radical spiritual breakthrough. Dahui and many monks as well as literati and other lay practitioners found it necessary to overcome existential disturbance caused by diverse social and emotional factors. This experience often took place amid such examples of turmoil as banishment, exile, imprisonment, or defrocking, as well as being the object of severe criticism and humiliation by a mentor for
merely parroting words without demonstrating a genuine internal understanding of their meaning or awareness of mystical certitude.

Because of the all-pervasive and all-consuming nature of doubt, not all of the strivings for awakening were successful at the time. In some cases this makes the account even more captivating when the practitioner, however accomplished, is forced to consider giving up on the Wu Gongan in order to press on with other cases. Many of the most distinguished masters in the history of the Chan/Zen school confessed proudly that they had struggled mightily with solving the case for prolonged periods before achieving a resolution. The sense of pride that their explanations convey is based on the idea that anxiety is a necessary and invaluable stage on the religious path in that the higher the degree of feeling of anxiety, the more profound the realization of truth.

For example, Yuan-dynasty monk Gaofeng Yuanmiao 高峰原妙 abandoned the Wu Gongan after three years of agony. Although this disappointing experience was ironically productive in that it heightened his sense of Angst, he had to shift to another case before gaining enlightenment. On the other hand, as a novice the eminent Korean master Taego 太古 visited several masters and throughout his twenties worked on another gongan attributed to Zhaozhou, “The ten thousand dharmas return to the one; where does the one return?” At age thirty-three, Taego attained a resolution and then moved on to work with the Wu Gongan. At first he felt greatly challenged until eventually he succeeded after four years of practice in attaining a great awakening. This was expressed in the following poem: “The solid doors shatter./Clear wind blows/From beginningless time.”18

An example of struggling with the Wu Gongan in modern Japan is found in the biography of Nishida Kitarō 西田幾多郎, the founder of the Kyoto School of philosophy who developed the nondual metaphysical view of absolute nothingness (zetai mu 絶对無). To a large extent, Nishida’s thought is rooted in Zen meditation and represents a watershed in combining elements of subjectivity and objectivity in appropriating Wu/Mu. Nishida spent several years dealing with this case that had been assigned to him during the first intensive meditation session (sesshin 撮心) while he was studying at Myōshin-ji 妙心寺 temple in Kyoto in 1897. During a summer retreat a few years later, Nishida would sometimes skip his private audience (sanzen 参禅) altogether. Despite the relaxed atmosphere of the countryside setting, he recorded in a journal that this was “because he was having a hard time with his kōan ‘Mu’ and had nothing to say to the master. His analytical and conceptual mind stood in the way of his kōan practice.”19

Apparently, Nishida, who was trained in Western philosophy, could not help but think logically about the implications of the question of
whether or not the dog has a Buddha-nature. This level of thought presupposes a dichotomy between the subject and the object, and thus does not touch the vitally living reality, whether it is a dog’s or a person’s, since this realm in an ontological rather than chronological sense stands before the duality of “it has” and “it has not.” “What deludes me is the temptation to think,” Nishida wrote in his diary. Seeing that he was stuck and could not at that stage resolve his studies, the mentor switched the disciple’s meditative focus to the “sound of one hand,” a case that was devised by Hakuin 白隠 as an alternative focus for introductory study. On the same day this occurred, in learning that his good friend “Mitake passed his kōan, which annoyed him in no small degree, Nishida’s diary reads: ‘Mitake, saying something like he passed his kōan, proudly went home.’”

In recent times, Sheng Yen 聖嚴, a Taiwanese master whose teachings spread to the West, reports that he once meditated on the Wu Gongan in twenty-seven degrees below zero weather while practicing in the remote mountains of Japan before he eventually succeeded in “shattering the great doubt” by solving the case. Another contemporary account is provided by scholar-practitioner Victor Hori, who was born and raised in Canada, after earning a doctorate from Stanford University in Western philosophy, he went to Japan and practiced as a Zen monk in a Kyoto temple for several decades before returning to the West to become a university professor and author of academic writings. Hori notes his personal struggles and remarkable sudden realization with its universal implications:

When I received the jakugo [Ch. zhuoyu 著語] assignment [composing a capping phrase] for “Mu,” try as I might, I could not find a capping phrase that summed up “Mu.” Weeks went by. I lost track of the number of times I went through the Zen Phrase Book from cover to cover without success. I was beginning to think there was no such verse. Finally, in disgust, the rōshi gave me a hint. All at once an avalanche of suitable pages tumbled off the pages, all of which I had read many times before without making the association. It was as if every verse expressed “Mu.”

IV. Notions of Malady and Guilt Related to Doubt

A number of intriguing metaphors are used by Dahui and his followers to characterize the central role played by doubt as a dramatic double-bind experience that is essential for attaining a transformative breakthrough. Total dedication to the challenge of engaging with Wu is by no means an easy task. Commentaries compare struggling with this
The uncertainty and instability it provokes to the condition of having a prickly chestnut burr forced down one’s throat. The predicament is also likened to someone trapped who can neither stay put nor escape, or who hangs from a hundred-foot pole by the fingernails while being prodded with an unanswerable question from below, has their hair set on fire without the possibility of quick remediation, gets attacked by a fierce dog that never lets go, or is confronted by thousands of enemies all at once without holding a weapon or forming any strategy. In these metaphors, the immediacy and palpability of feelings of desperation are deftly evoked.

Chan masters have often talked about the need to recognize the symptoms and overcome the effects of the illness of emptiness. As Juhn Young Ahn suggests, “Not only did practitioners of Chan and Zen … literally succumb to the malady of meditation while mulling over this koan but they also seem to have become ill precisely because there was, as the title [of Ahn’s dissertation chapter] states, nothing to get sick over.”24 In Dahui’s words, the Chan illness is like having a mixed poison (zadu) enter into the mind that, like oil spoiling flour, could not be removed once the intrusion took place.25 “The illness,” he hastens to add, “applies not only to wise literati but also to experienced meditators.”26 Dahui then lays the blame for the tendency to intellectualize on “a brand of bald heretics who, without having even cleared their own eyes, just teach people to rest and relax, like a dead snubnose-dogwolf.”27

Suffering the malady of meditation often refers to the state of dullness or torpor that can result from silent-illumination, causing physical symptoms that include cold feet, difficulty in catching one’s breath, a ringing in the ears, stomach cramps, or intense perspiration. Some of these conditions have often been associated either with the diagnosis of tuberculosis or with an underlying sense of panic that may be accompanied by hallucinations, indicating the onset of what would likely be called today a nervous breakdown.

Indeed, nearly all of the stories that pertain to gaining enlightenment through contemplation of the Wu key phrase involve psychological struggles lasting until a resolution is found. According to an account of Yuan-dynasty master Hengchuan, “In the old days when I was traveling on foot, I too believed there was such a thing as Chan. For three days and nights, I kept my attention on the word No, observing it horizontally and vertically, but I could not see through it. My chest felt like a lump of hot iron, but there was no understanding.”28 Then Hengchuan entered the private quarters of his teacher, who brought up a different case, “On South Mountain, bamboo shoots; on the Eastern Sea, black marauders,” and Hengchuan reports, “As soon as I tried to open my mouth, he hit me: at that
moment I emptied through, and the word No was smashed to pieces. How could there be any buddhas? How could there be a self? How could the myriad things exist? This is the fundamental realm, the stage of peace and happiness without concerns.”

Another fascinating example of a monk suffering from the Chan malady is Mengshan 蒙山, an eighth-generation disciple of Yuanwu’s mentor Wuzu Fayan 五祖法演 who was assigned Zhaozhou’s Wu 由 his teacher. After dedicating himself for eighteen days like a cat chasing a mouse, a hen sitting on eggs, or a rat gnawing at coffin wood, he quickly had a major breakthrough while drinking tea. But his request for confirmation was repeatedly turned down by his mentor and he was advised to investigate further. Two years passed without any significant development and then Mengshan became ill with dysentery and felt as if he were on the verge of death. After taking a confessional vow, he resumed his investigation of the case and before long he felt his intestines churning. He simply ignored this physical discomfort and went on with his practice.

Mengshan noticed that “After a while, my eyelids did not move and later I did not even notice that I had a body. Only the key phrase [continued] uninterrupted. When evening arrived I arose [from meditation] and my illness was half gone. I sat again until quarter past the third watch [of the night] and all the illnesses had completely disappeared.” However, Mengshan needed to persist and bear with sores appearing all over his body before transforming “meditative-work in the midst of illness” (bingzhong gongfu 病中工夫) into “meditative-work in the midst of [enlightened] activity” (dongzhong gongfu 動中工夫). After gaining full enlightenment while hearing the sound of an incense bowl being struck by the head monk while he was in a trance state contemplating Wu, Mengshan was told by his teacher that he had captured the essence of Zhaozhou’s key phrase. He extemporaneously created a verse about his sudden awakening that included the following lines: “Master Zhaozhou stands above the crowd,/But his features are just like ours.”

In addition to evoking the symptoms of illness, key-phrase discourse also draws on the Chinese court system and its distinctive approach to dealing with crime and punishment. Key-phrase advocates give the legal context a special interpretation by emphasizing the role of the master as an arbiter who unequivocally determines right from wrong after sifting through all of the available evidence. In addition the trainee needs to be aware of his transgressions by admitting culpability and adopting a remorseful and repentant outlook. According to Zhongfeng Mingben 中峰明本 in Evening Talks in a Mountain Hut (Shanfang Yehua 《山房夜話》), a text from the early Yuan dynasty, Chan masters “are the senior administrators of the monastic
‘court,’” who commented on cases, “not just to show off their own erudition or to contradict the ancient worthies,”33 but to make a clear and decisive judgment in order to avoid misapprehension and enable their followers to realize the truth for themselves.

Zhongfeng’s assessment is supported by Sanjiao Laoren 三教老人, who in a preface to a restored copy of the Blue Cliff Record dated 1304, uses a series of metaphors to reconstruct the legal element related to overcoming doubt that results in “complete transcendence, final emancipation, total penetration, and identical attainment [with the ancestors]”:

> Now, the public case (gongan) is the torch of wisdom that illuminates the darkness of the passions, the golden scraper that cuts away the film clouding the eye, the sharp ax that severs the life-root of birth and death, the divine mirror that reflects the original face of both the sage and the commoner…. Just as the compass needle always points south, out of compassion one is shown the way. Each blow of the staff, leaving a welt, is to bring about understanding…. For this reason the great teachers handed [down?] instructions that the mind is to die on the [meditation] cushion. Each inquiry is like an official promulgating an order, directing people to read regulations and know the law, or extinguishing bad thoughts as soon as they arise.34

Taking responsibility for being accountable in the spiritual journey parallels admitting a sense of legal guilt through appearances or correspondences with the court system and accepting the punishment that is meted out for one’s case. It represents a crucial turning point in the quest to attain realization.

V. Illuminative Power of Wu

The word Wu can drive the unenlightened to distraction and cause a fit of frustration that often leads to physical illness, which represents an important stage of the bottoming out of despair before initiating a turnaround in the process of spiritual growth. It also has a very positive meaning. Wu is said to encompass the entire universe, function like a sword that slices its way through all barriers, a ladle of cold water that cools off a huge boiling cauldron, an iron broom that sweeps away all residue, or a sun that has the power to melt rocks. Therefore, another type of discourse developed by Dahui expresses an affirmation of the negation represented by Wu.

This rhetoric uses metaphors to proclaim in triumphal fashion how the key phrase functions in overcoming doubt and inducing an experience of awakening that is attained by the seasoned and dedicated trainee. The following passage may seem to be a repetitive and
redundant citing of Dahui’s negative injunctions, but the use of metaphor to explicate the positive function of Wu is added:

Just at all times, whether walking or standing, sitting or lying down, hold on to this No steadfastly. “Does even a dog have Buddha-nature or not?” Hold on to this No earnestly to the point where it becomes ripe so that no discussion or consideration can reach it and you are as if caught in a place that is just one square inch. When it has no flavor whatsoever as if you were chewing on a raw iron cudgel but you get so close to it that you cannot pull back, then that really is auspicious!35

The main affirmative recommendation Dahui makes is that practitioners should give unending attention and commitment to solving the case, 24/7 so to speak, by engaging the key phrase at any and every moment amid the four daily activities of standing, walking, sitting, and lying down.

Elsewhere, Dahui suggests that once doubt is centered on the key phrase, it will become like a huge growing ball and, eventually, this ball of doubt will shatter and all other sources of anxiety and uncertainty will disappear with it in at? the moment of enlightenment. Therefore, “Great doubt will necessarily be followed by great enlightenment.”36 He also remarks that if one begins to feel dull and muddled during meditation, they must muster all of their energies by holding up the word Wu: “Then suddenly you will be like the old blind woman who blows [so diligently] at the fire that her eye brows and lashes are burned right off.”37

Furthermore, sixteenth-century Korean master So Sahn 西山 in The Mirror of Chan provides a series of metaphors reflecting the staunch commitment and keen skills that are needed to be able to surpass Angst:

A hen nests on her brood, always keeping them warm. When a cat chases a mouse, its mind and eyes never wander from the object of its hunt, no matter what. A starving man has but one object: food; a man with throat parched from thirst conceives of but one goal: get water. A child who has been left alone for a long time by its mother only longs to see her again. All of these focused efforts come only from the deepest mind, and are not artificial. It is a kind of intense sincerity. Without such a deeply straightforward striving mind, it is impossible to attain enlightenment.38

Hakuin frequently cites Dahui’s emphasis on integrating Chan practice with secular concerns, such as when he addresses the lay community in his Letter to Lord Nabeshima (Orategama 《遠羅天釜》) I,

The Zen Master Dahui has said that meditation in the midst of activity is immeasurably superior to the quietistic approach … [which is] like trying to cross a mountain ridge as narrow as a sheep’s skull with
a hundred-and-twenty pound load on one’s back…. What is most \textit{worthy of respect} is a pure kōan meditation that neither knows nor is conscious of the two aspects, the quiet and the active. This is why it has been said that the true practicing monk walks but does not know he is walking, sits but does not know he is sitting.\footnote{39}

Key-phrase discourse offers many examples of metaphors used to describe the power that the syllable Wu can exert to remove ignorance and attain enlightenment. A motif in the \textit{Gateless Gate} evokes the imagery of weaponry and death in regard to battling ignorance and attachment. In another example, Yuanwu’s teacher Wuzu once brought up the \textit{Wu Gongan} while giving instruction in the abbot’s quarters. When asked by a disciple for a verse comment, he offered the following, “Zhaozhou shows his sword,/Reflecting frost in a blaze of light./If you persist in looking for answers,/It will cut you in shreds.”\footnote{40}

Several other classical verses evoke the power of Wu in images of a warrior killing off barbarians, an avalanche of falling rocks, a grindstone rolling rapidly down a hill, or a mirror that is smashed. According to a poem by Gushan Gui 径山杲, “Somebody asked, ‘Does the dog have Buddha-nature?’/And Zhaozhou replied, ‘No.’/With that saying he annihilated the barbarians,/Who still have no clue.”\footnote{41} The next example by Shaoshi Mu 少室睦 also uses dramatic imagery of destruction, “When Zhaozhou uttered ‘No,’/Mountains collapsed and stones were split asunder./If you haven’t fully comprehended this yet,/You’ve gained only a small splinter.”\footnote{42}

Although these metaphors all emphasize destruction, there are also constructive images comparing Wu to the purity and clarity of a huge solid substance, like a silver mountain or iron wall that signifies equanimity, which is also indicated in the last verse in the series cited above. For Taego, “The word Mu is like a pellet of alchemical cinnabar: touch iron with it and the iron turns to gold. As soon as [Zhaozhou’s] Mu is mentioned, the face of all the buddhas of past, present, and future is revealed.”\footnote{43} A verse comment by Nantang Xing 南堂興 similarly reflects this triumphal standpoint: “Zhaozhou said the dog has no Buddha-nature –/The seventh primordial buddha, Tathagata, puts his hands together and listens,/Dancing on three platforms on the peak of Mt. Sumeru/While ocean waters form a wave that leaps sky high.”\footnote{44}

\textbf{VI. Wither Wu?}

As has often been noted in studies of comparative religious thought, few people speak at greater length or with more eloquence about any
topic than mystics in regard to the virtues of negation and silence. H. D. Thoreau has said that “Silence is the universal refuge.” But Nobel laureate Harold Pinter speaks of transcending language in the introduction to the first volume of his Complete Plays, “There are two silences. One when no word is spoken. The other when perhaps a torrent of language is being employed.”

Dahui’s followers were no exception, creating dozens of volumes concerning the merits of undertaking practice based on the key-phrase Wu. This standpoint, rooted in the notions of nihilism and reticence that epitomize the Zen motto of “a special transmission outside the sutras” (Jiaowai biechuan buli wenzi 教外別伝, 不立文字), stresses the role of minimalist expression through the use of abbreviation and parsimonious language. A single word or phrase cut off from the fuller narrative context of the gongan record is considered sufficient to evoke pure silence, while at the same time avoiding the pitfalls of quiescence associated with meditation based on silent-illumination.

Nevertheless, the religious quest based on the key-phrase method is conveyed persuasively in a powerful and personal way through metaphor and other kinds of verbal images. Numerous accounts in prose and verse commentaries feature a variety of symbols conjuring the excruciating experience of doubt and the physical as well as mental symptoms it causes, in addition to the extraordinary power of Wu to cut through ignorance and realize awakening. These tropes were expressed in voluminous texts ranging from gongan commentaries to collections of formal and informal sermons, poetry, and epistles to followers. Dahui was particularly keen on the use of letters to communicate ideas about Wu with literati. Of the forty references to the Wu Gongan in his collected writings, about a third of these occur in his communications with lay practitioners.

The aim of rhetoric for Dahui and his followers is constructively to utilize yet also to deny emphatically the role of language in the religious quest for enlightenment. While delivering a sermon, Dahui was queried by a monk who referred to a predecessor’s poem on the Wu Gongan, “Wuzu said, when asked about Zhaozhou’s ‘a dog has no Buddha-nature,’ ‘Still it has a hundred thousand times more than a cat,’ so what of this?” The monk said, “The wind is blowing and the grass is growing.” Then Dahui remarked, “Let us not talk falsely. Now what are you going to do?” The monk was speechless, and the master said, “Learning through words will invariably knock you off course.”
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