

MORIYA Tomoe 守屋友江

Social Ethics of “New Buddhists” at the Turn of the Twentieth Century

A Comparative Study of Suzuki Daisetsu and Inoue Shūten

This paper concerns the discourses of two Japanese Zen Buddhists, Suzuki Daisetsu and Inoue Shūten, through analyzing their writings in a Buddhist journal called *Shin Bukkyō*, in order to examine their presentations of the role of Buddhism at the turn of the twentieth century and how their transnational contacts influenced the construction of their religious ideas. As Itō Hirobumi's annotation to the Meiji Constitution described, religion in modern Japan witnessed the division of religion into “outward” practices and “inner” religious belief. The Kōtoku Incident (1910–1911) also played a crucial role for Japanese Buddhists in terms of their social engagement, and around this time Suzuki's discourses in particular began to show a polarization of social criticism in *Shin Bukkyō* on the one hand, and reflections on spirituality in other journals on the other. Inoue, who was suspected of having a hand in the Kōtoku Incident, wrote critical commentaries and pacifist essays from a Buddhist point of view. In this study, I attempt to uncover the various factors that constructed their religious ideas so as to exemplify the Buddhist responses to rising nationalism and the restriction of freedom of religion and thought.

KEYWORDS: Suzuki Daisetsu – Inoue Shūten – *Shin Bukkyō* – division of religious practices – social ethics – Kōtoku Incident – nationalism

Moriya Tomoe is Associate Professor at Hannan University in Osaka, Japan.

SUZUKI Daisetsu 鈴木大拙 (a.k.a. D. T. Suzuki, 1870–1966) and Inoue Shūten 井上秀天 (1880–1945), though not official representatives of either the Rinzai or Sōtō schools, had several things in common.¹ In addition to having overseas experience, a good command of English, and a positive understanding of Christianity, both contributed to the journal *Shin Bukkyō* 新仏教 (“New Buddhism”; published 1900 to 1915).² The most striking difference between them, however, was the public attention they received, especially in Western scholarship. While it is hardly necessary to go over the details of Suzuki’s life, Inoue seems to be little known among scholars who read English but not Japanese.³ While the former published numerous books and articles in English, Inoue wrote only in Japanese, even though he did assist in translations by American Christian missionaries or British writers of their own works. His writings in *Shin Bukkyō* revolve around pacifism and resistance to nationalist ideas from a Buddhist point of view. Therefore, despite Suzuki’s contribution to the dissemination of Buddhism in the West, I believe that other practitioners like Inoue also need to be studied and discussed among a wider circle of scholars in order to illustrate the multifaceted history of modern Japanese Buddhism. Moreover, despite having some things in common, both showed a contrasting stance when dealing with the role of religion on social justice, war, and rising nationalism. They introduced and translated works in English with some interesting comments, expressing their own evaluations and thoughts. This present study, however, is concerned with what kind of ideas they preferred and how they selected them, when they published their essays, and how they described the significance of these works.

* An earlier version of this paper was presented at the panel on “Local Buddhisms and Transnational Contacts, 1868–1945” (IAHR, 30 March 2005), as “Japanese Zen on the State: A Comparative Study of D. T. Suzuki and Inoue Shūten, 1898–1915.” My sincere appreciation goes to the participants in the session: Ishii Kōsei, Richard Jaffe, Donald Lopez, Thomas Tweed, and Wayne Yokoyama, as well as the audience at our panel, for their invaluable comments. I am indebted to the discussions and e-mail exchanges with the participants after the conference, and to anonymous readers of this paper for their constructive comments. This study was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Program B, No. 16720014).

1. Although Suzuki wrote his name as “Daisetz” and Inoue as “Inouye,” I use the Hepburn style to transliterate both.

2. Suzuki started contributing his essays to the journal from October 1900 until June 1915, which number fifty-seven in total, while Inoue contributed eighty-seven essays from January 1906 to August 1915. They sometimes wrote two essays at a time.

3. For an exhaustive list of works about Suzuki, see KIRITA 2005. Michel MOHR briefly introduces Inoue as a critic of Nantenbō 南天棒 (1998, p. 202).

This paper deals with the period from the late 1890s to the turn of the twentieth century, a time that saw an upsurge of reactionary nationalism, following a couple of decades of a pro-Western atmosphere.⁴ Christianity, as a “religion from the West,” faced a storm of serious criticism, as in the well-known lese-majesty case in 1891 that forced a Japanese Christian, Uchimura Kanzō 内村鑑三 (1861–1930), to resign from Dai-ichi High School in Tokyo. In this respect, it is worth considering how the contacts of both Suzuki and Inoue with Westerners might have affected the construction of their religious ideas, as well as their views on the state and rising nationalism.

Social Setting of Buddhist Modernization

Following the severe condemnation of Buddhism by Confucian and Kokugaku (National Learning) scholars, as well as that contained in the Chinese translations of critical discourses by Christian missionaries during the late Edo period, early Meiji Buddhism was subject to political turbulence and a nationwide anti-Buddhist campaign, known as *haibutsu kishaku* 廃仏毀釈 (KASHIWAHARA 1973a, pp. 519–23; THELLE 1987, Chaps. 1–2; KETELAAR 1990, Chaps. 1–2). On the other hand, the colonization of Japan’s neighboring countries by Western powers and the unequal treaties made with them were seen as grave threats, even after Japan became a member of the international community. Motivated by the fear of being colonized, together with the persecution of Christians throughout the Tokugawa period, it was still the norm for Japanese Buddhist scholars to study Christianity prejudicially, regarding it as an “evil religion” (*jakyō* 邪教). By engaging in nationalistic political activism and discussing the Buddhist role of protecting the nation, these concerned Buddhists were also anticipating reformist movements within the Buddhist order (KASHIWAHARA 1973b, pp. 544–49). Given the situation, both externally and internally, the significance of Western scholarship of Buddhism became apparent in Japanese academia. Buddhist denominations started dispatching students overseas as well as delegations that accompanied the Meiji government’s official envoys to Europe (HONGANJI SHIRYŌ KENKYŪJO 1969, pp. 270–83; THELLE 1987, pp. 78–82).⁵

4. For more details on the historical transition of nationalism from the late nineteenth to twentieth century Japan, see for example, MARUYAMA 1992 and MATSUMOTO 1996.

5. Owing to financial stability, Jōdo Shinshū played a leading role in the overseas mission. As is widely known, its strongholds mostly survived the assaults. Mori Ryūkichi states that the new policy of confiscating temple property did not affect the Honganji denominations (both Higashi and Nishi), because their capital depended solely on donations from members, which enabled them to save extraordinary amount of wealth (MORI 1976, pp. 406–7). In 1887, the Society for Communication with Western Buddhists (Ōbei Bukkyō Tsūshinkai 欧米仏教通信会) was founded by teachers of the Futsū Kyōkō, which was later renamed the Buddhist Propagation Society (Kaigai Senkyōkai 海外宣教会) (HONGANJI SHIRYŌ KENKYŪJO 1969, pp. 311–12). The following year saw the publication of the Japanese periodical, *Kaigai Bukkyō jijō* 海外仏教事情 (1888–1894), which reported the

In 1886, a group of students from Futsū Kyōkō 普通教校 (present-day Ryūkoku University) established the Hanseikai 反省会 (known as the “Temperance Association” in English, which is an unusual title for Jōdo Shinshū especially as its teaching does not prohibit the drinking of alcohol). It seems that these students perceived temperance as something that symbolized “civilization” and “moral conduct” because this was encouraged by Christian missionaries who worked as agents representing the “civilized” West.⁶ Therefore, it is most likely that they formed this group as a reaction to the religious practices at Dōshisha (present-day Dōshisha University), a Christian theological and English school in Kyoto, whose location was close to their own campus. In this sense, Notto THELLE describes the Hanseikai as “the first representative association of young Buddhist reformers” (1987, p. 201).

Just as the young Tokutomi Sohō 徳富蘇峰 (1863–1957) coined the term *Tenpō no rōjin* 天保の老人 (“elders of the Tenpō [1830–1844] era”) to distinguish his own generation, born around the Meiji Restoration, from the people who became involved in the construction of the foundation of the new government (MARUYAMA 1986, pp. 33–36),⁷ the younger Buddhists, who did not actually experience life under the regime of local feudal lords (*daimyō* 大名), played a vital role in the Buddhist modernization movements in the 1890s (MORI 1976; YOSHIDA 1992). It should be noted that both Suzuki and Inoue were born after the Restoration and in terms of their perception of Christianity, this generational gap offered them another approach to the “Other” of the West through similarly criticizing Christianity, while presenting the role of religion within a new paradigm of civilization and enlightenment, social progress, as being rational and scientific.

Some Hanseikai members and quite a few Tetsugakukan 哲学館 (present-day Tōyō University) graduates later founded a lay-oriented Buddhist group called the Bukkyō Seito Dōshikai 仏教清徒同志会, or Buddhist Puritan Society, in 1899 (its name was changed to the Shin Bukkyōto Dōshikai 新仏教徒同志会, or New Buddhist Society, in 1903).⁸ As the name indicates, there was some Christian

latest news of Buddhism in the West to Japanese readers, and the English periodical *Bijou of Asia* (1888–1899), which informed the West of Japanese Buddhism and was a forum for the exchange of ideas. For details on the relationship between this Nishi Honganji organization and the Theosophical Society, see YOSHINAGA 2005 and TWEED 2005. I thank Richard Jaffe and Micah Auerbach for copies of *Bijou of Asia*.

6. YANAGITA Kunio 柳田国男 discusses Christian temperance movements and their pros and cons, including the Buddhist Temperance Association (1994, p. 181).

7. Tokutomi Sohō (a.k.a. Tokutomi Ichirō 猪一郎) is quite well known as one of the Kumamoto Band students who studied at Dōshisha and later became a nationalistic journalist. For a detailed historical study on the idea of “generation,” see also KŌSAKA 1961.

8. Despite the fact that the name seems to have altered quite a lot in the English translation, it is common for historians of modern Japanese Buddhism to call them “Shin Bukkyōto” (new Buddhists) and their movements “Shin Bukkyō undō,” (new Buddhist movements), which I similarly follow. For details of the dates and names in English, see THELLE 1987.

influence on the Buddhist reform movements, particularly among the younger generation whose motivation was to reform the hierarchical structure of institutional Buddhism (MORI 1976, pp. 410–14; THELLE 1987, pp. 196–213). This Society published a monthly journal, *Shin Bukkyō*, which offered an opportunity for Buddhists (especially the laity) to exchange ideas with other reform-minded people. Their rationalist and non-sectarian mission statements stressed “sound Buddhist faith,” “radical reform of society,” “free discussion on Buddhism and other religions,” “extermination of all superstition,” “not [recognizing] the necessity of preserving traditional religious systems and ceremonies,” and “rejection of all sorts of political protection,”⁹ which were almost identical with those of the Japanese Unitarians as can be seen in their journal, *Rikugō zasshi* 六合雜誌.¹⁰

Meanwhile, YOSHIDA Kyūichi reveals the New Buddhists’ connection with the socialists and the Kōtoku Incident 幸徳事件, or the so-called “High Treason Incident” (*Taigyaku jiken* 大逆事件) (1992, Chaps. 5–6).¹¹ Prior to this occurrence, after the promulgation of the Imperial Constitution in 1889, whose Article 28 defined freedom of religion as “within limits not prejudicial to peace and order” (TANAKA 1976, p. 19), Itō Hirobumi 伊藤博文 (1841–1909) published an annotation to this, defining these limits to assert that while “inner” religious belief would not be restricted, “outward” practices in the public sphere should be controlled and not to violate the law (ITŌ 1889, pp. 52–53). Inoue Kowashi 井上毅 (1843–1895) was much clearer in his definition, dividing religious practice into “inner mind” (*naisō* 内想) and “outward practice” (*gaiken* 外顕), permitting the former and restricting the latter (INOUE 1966, p. 10).¹² This helps to explain Uchimura’s enforced resignation due to his “disrespect” for the Imperial Rescript on Education in 1891, and the Kōtoku Incident, whose impact on Japanese society

9. Every issue of *Shin Bukkyō* contained these mission statements on the inside of the front page. For an English translation, see THELLE 1987, p. 211, though my translation here is slightly modified from his version.

10. For a comprehensive study of *Rikugō zasshi*, see DŌSHISHA DAIGAKU JINBUNKAGAKU KENKYŪJO 1984a. The Unitarians shared Unity Hall with the New Buddhist Society members for free in order to hold monthly public lectures (YOSHIDA 1992, pp. 338, 345; THELLE 1987, p. 212). The tables of contents of these two journals show that they had common contributors (AKAMATSU and FUKUSHIMA 1982; DŌSHISHA DAIGAKU JINBUNKAGAKU KENKYŪJO 1984b). TAKEDA Kiyoko points out that the Unitarian journal at one time included quite a few articles on socialism (1962, pp. 117–20).

11. Although this incident was reported as a socialist plot to assassinate the emperor at that time, it is now regarded as a government conspiracy. The administration under Katsura Tarō 桂太郎 (1908–1911) concocted the “High Treason” case and arrested twenty-six socialists and anarchists, including four Buddhists, who were immediately sentenced to death in closed trials, without any vital evidence being produced. Twelve of these were eventually executed, while the rest had their sentences commuted to life imprisonment. Although some scholars label it the “High Treason Incident” in accordance with legal documents and the news media of the day, I prefer the neutral “Kōtoku Incident,” named after the ringleader. See also AMA 2005, p. 223.

12. Inoue is considered as the one who practically drafted the Constitution and *Kenpō gige* (a.k.a. *Kenpō gikai*) 憲法義解.

was extraordinarily serious. Brian VICTORIA shows that the incident caused the Sôtô, Rinzai, and Jôdo Shin denominations to issue numerous directives to each of their affiliated temples, and statements apologizing for “not having adequately controlled” their priests in the said incident (1997, pp. 49–52).¹³

Concessions to political control over that of religious freedom coincided with the following attributes in the late nineteenth century and the turn of the next. Maruyama Masao states that the late Meiji through to Taishô periods saw a non-political “individualism,” derived from social apathy and a “convergence” of loyalty to the emperor system (MARUYAMA 1992, pp. 77–103; see also KAMISHIMA 1961, pp. 195–246). In sum, the Buddhist link to Christian socialists and the Kôto Incident played quite a crucial role in the new Buddhist movements (YOSHIDA 1992, Chap. 6; AMA 2005, pp. 220–41). In the next section, I apply Maruyama’s analysis, though with some modifications, as it is useful when examining the cases of both Suzuki and Inoue, particularly in regard to their presentations of spirituality and stances on socio-political issues.¹⁴

Findings from Suzuki’s Discourses

Suzuki translated two addresses of Shaku Sôen’s 釈宗演 (1859–1919) into English for the World’s Parliament of Religions in Chicago while still a student at Tokyo Imperial University and, later, Paul Carus’s *Gospel of Buddha* into Japanese. In 1897, with a recommendation of Shaku, Suzuki “went to Carus to learn from him the various skills required to disseminate knowledge of Buddhism to the West” (SNODGRASS 2003, p. 260). Prior to his move to the United States, however, the young Suzuki had written extensively on religion and society for several major journals and expressed his opinions on social issues and rationalist perceptions of religion.¹⁵ He was critical of the magnificent temple buildings for symbolizing “monuments of ignorance,” and held that imposing rules for individual faith, which would limit freedom of thought, is “extremely oppressive and bureaucratic” (SUZUKI 1894, p. 154).

Such a rationalist stance presents quite a contrast image of his ideas as he would later translate several works by a Swedish mystic, Emmanuel Swedenborg

13. While public opinion condemned the accused for the alleged crime, some people, such as the poet Ishikawa Takuboku 石川啄木, desperately lamented that this incident was the beginning of *jidai heisoku* 時代閉塞 (the age of blockage) and criticized the regime for its oppressive actions (ISHIKAWA 1970). As his biography shows he was born in a Sôtôshû temple in Iwate prefecture and died in 1912 at the age of 26 from tuberculosis.

14. Although it might be of interest to analyze their ideas as an earlier model of socially-engaged Buddhism in modern Japan, this concept was introduced by the Vietnamese monk, Thich Nhat Hanh during the Vietnam War. Although the theoretical analysis of genealogy of socially-engaged Buddhism or its comparison with other Asian Buddhist history is not the main concern here, I would like to discuss these aspects in my ongoing project.

15. According to Wayne Yokoyama, Suzuki’s publications numbered about one hundred prior to his departure for the United States. E-mail correspondence, 4 April 2005.

in the 1910s. This variation indicates that his main concerns shifted from time to time, and hence it is significant to take a look at them within their historical contexts.¹⁶ Thomas TWEED (2005; see TWEED in this issue) interprets this complexity as several “phases” of Suzuki’s intellectual and religious developments in his long life of over ninety years, which did not necessarily follow a logical or linear progression. Rather, they quite often intertwined and overlapped each other. I will focus on the shift from the phase of the above-mentioned critical discourses that might be coined *Critical* Suzuki to what Tweed regards as *Occult* Suzuki, which exemplifies his penchant for Swedenborgianism and Theosophy.¹⁷

KIRITA Kiyohide examines Suzuki’s writings including those not contained in the old edition of the *Suzuki Daisetsu zenshū* 鈴木大拙全集, revealing “his attitudes towards the state and society,” and finds that “Suzuki was much clearer in his views on the state and society following his move to the United States in the late 1890s” (1994, pp. 51, 54). For example, his essays were quite disparaging of “hypocritical” ultranationalists who “manipulate the weakness of the Japanese people, embracing the imperial family and the imperial rescripts and attempting to imbue them with a religious significance” (SUZUKI 1898, p. 71, partially quoted in KIRITA 1994, p. 54). In addition, he publicly expressed his sympathy for socialist ideas while in America. Although he never met with any Japanese socialists there, Suzuki wrote an essay from LaSalle disapproving of the prohibition of the Social Democratic Party in Japan, criticizing the government’s action as “reckless,” and neglecting “social progress” (SUZUKI 1901, p. 43). Here below, are his ideas for the best possible society:

The greatest possible motivation we can have for organizing our society is the chance to develop our natural abilities freely and apply them toward the advance of society as a whole.... The basis of society lies on no-self, the secret of progress is derived from “the vow to save all sentient beings without exception.”
(SUZUKI 1901, p. 45, partially quoted in KIRITA 1994, p. 56)

Thus, his “religious aspiration” was to achieve equal opportunity in both education and employment in an “unjust” society (SUZUKI 1901, p. 47). He also wrote to Nishida Kitarō 西田幾多郎 (1870–1945) saying he could derive “socialism from [his way of understanding] religion,” because it is “more comprehensive than morality, hence it flows into politics, institutions, self-discipline,” whereas morality does not go beyond personal practice (Suzuki to Nishida, 3 December 1902, SDZ 36, pp. 225–26). It should be noted that he told Yamamoto

16. Two sources that deal positively with Suzuki’s impact on Western Buddhists/sympathizers are FIELDS 1992 and HAGIWARA 2001. For the role of nationalism on Suzuki’s Zen thought, see ICHIKAWA 1975; SHARF 1994 and 1995; VICTORIA 1997.

17. Apart from the above phases, Elsa I. Legettimo Arias kindly mentioned the *Philological Suzuki* to evaluate his numerous translations of sutras and commentaries, although this will need to be discussed in another paper. Oral communication, IAHR, 30 March 2005.

Ryōkichi 山本良吉 (1871–1942) about the philanthropic activities of Christian churches in Chicago, as well as criticizing those who overemphasized the imperial rescripts in order to confine freedom of thought in Japan (Suzuki to Yamamoto, 14 June 1898, SDZ 36, pp. 150–51).

When speculating on the reasons for such harsh statements, it is also helpful to remember his educational background. Although he entered Tokyo Imperial University, he was not enrolled as a regular undergraduate but as a *senka* 選科 student, which did not allow him to follow the full curriculum regardless of paying the same amount of tuition (TŌKYŌ TEIKOKU DAIGAKU 1932, pp. 474–80). Such unfair treatment, as well as his experience of poverty in spite of receiving elite education, might explain his criticism of bureaucracy and hierarchy within Japanese society and his “critical spirit” in general (KIRITA 1996, pp. 128–32).

In this respect, it is beneficial to consider his other writings on social issues. For instance, he reports from LaSalle that the American public is sympathetic to a Japanese victory over the Russians (SUZUKI 1904a, p. 412), while in a separate essay he evaluates a soldier who fights without “ego” as “spiritual,” and concludes by stating, “Let us then shuffle off this mortal coil whenever it becomes necessary, and not raise a grunting voice against the fates.... Resting in this conviction, Buddhists carry the banner of Dharma over the dead and dying until they gain final victory” (SUZUKI 1904b, pp. 181–82). Although this argument seems contrary to his thoughts on social progress based on “no-self” published three years earlier, it actually is consistent in terms of the “comprehensiveness” of religion that “flows into politics,” but perhaps except for the “vow to save all sentient beings.” As a university student, exemption from military service was the norm, and therefore he never went to the battlefield, which could be another reason for such uncritical expressions on warfare. Having no military experience, it is likely that he learned such ideas from Shaku Sōen, whose articles in *Open Court*, which Suzuki later included in *Sermons of a Buddhist Abbot* (1906), contained similar arguments on a Buddhist view on war (VICTORIA 1994, pp. 109–10).¹⁸

What is significant for the purposes of this present study, however, is that Suzuki makes this political issue a kind of personal practice. Such an individualization of spirituality without a socio-political context is crucial because this would be how he later defined religion as something “mystical” (*shinpi* 神秘) that transcends scientific analysis, going beyond the “social order and national

18. Although it is beyond the scope of this paper, another important subject to think about is how much the bushido ideology influenced Suzuki in his construction of such an aggressive image of Zen. VICTORIA deals with this topic as well (1997, pp. 105–12), but actually Suzuki had not said much about swordsmanship prior to the publication of *Zen and its Influence on Japanese Culture* in 1938, although he added a chapter on this subject in the 1959 Bollingen edition. Considering the long absence of militant discourses on Zen until the death of his wife Beatrice in 1939, it appears he refrained from expressing his inclination toward bushido, at least while she was alive. I am grateful to Richard Jaffe and especially to Wayne Yokoyama for reminding me of Beatrice’s influence, and that of bushido, on Suzuki.

interests” or various ideas like “socialism, nationalism, or individualism” (SUZUKI 1900, pp. 58–59). Despite working under the “rationalist” Paul Carus (TWEED 2000, p. 60), his letters to his friends in Japan repeatedly expressed his penchant for “mystic, uncommunicable [sic] experience” (Suzuki to Nishida, 19 March 1904, SDZ 36, p. 248, English in original). He was more attracted to Swedenborgianism through Albert J. Edmunds (YOSHINAGA 2005, pp. 37–43) or a book like *The Varieties of Religious Experience* by William James (Suzuki to Nishida, 23 September 1902, SDZ 36, p. 222).¹⁹ The question here, which I will examine later, is how his inclination toward individualistic “mystical” experience could be related to evaluating militant action more than spiritual humanitarianism.

After returning to Japan, Suzuki became a board member of the New Buddhist Society, and continued to contribute several essays, mostly on social issues, to its journal. In 1909, he found a teaching job at Gakushūin 学習院 as well as at Tokyo Imperial University. However, considering the political implications of publishing in this journal, particularly as the prisoners of the Kōtoku Incident, who were on death row, subscribed and contributed to it, it must have been quite a challenge for a Gakushūin professor to be an active member of this Society, though he did not criticize the imperial family as he had done in previous essays. He wrote in a personal letter to Paul Carus in 1911, however, as follows:

The Japanese are very narrow-minded. The government seems to be trying to suppress every new doctrine that may conflict with the old notions of loyalty or patriotism. Since the war reactionaries are in full power, and militarism runs wild.

(Suzuki to Carus, 23 February 1911, SDZ 36, pp. 343–44, English in original)

Suzuki’s essays in *Shin Bukkyō* during the 1910s were mostly critical commentaries on Japanese society and its “uncivilized” customs (SUZUKI 1910b; 1910c). By comparing this journal with *Zendō* 禅道, a Zen monthly under Suzuki’s editorship (published from 1910 to 1923), KIRITA uncovers “a clear difference in his approach to the two publications” (1994, p. 58). SUZUKI states in *Zendō* that analytical classification is an “enemy to Zen life” because it terminates the source of life as in scientific experiments (1911c, pp. 8–9). He even dealt with “Christian Zen” (*Kikyō Zen* 基督教禅) in order to try to find some common ground with Zen in a mystical unification of God and a person with no ego or non-dualistic expressions of spirituality (SUZUKI 1911b, pp. 2–4).

Such a division of labor in religion might be a reflection of his “spirituality” that urged him to write conservative commentaries for *Shin Bukkyō*, which had been banned occasionally.²⁰ Still, he delivered a speech before his students

19. Edmunds was a librarian at the Historical Society of Pennsylvania and once worked at Open Court for a short period. He first met Suzuki in LaSalle in the summer of 1901 (TWEED 2005; See TWEED in this issue).

20. *Shin Bukkyō* was banned in September 1910, October 1913, and May 1914.

concerning the role of the elite in society and the necessity of being mindful of the poor in order to build a fairer society (SUZUKI 1911a).²¹ Naturally, as a teacher, he writes about the need to put more money toward education rather than the military by comparing this with American millionaires, who donated enormous amounts of money to educational and cultural facilities. He also stresses the necessity of educating young students with a new kind of morality that teaches them “how they can achieve the complete spiritual personality” and “let them acknowledge their national culture and its origin,” instead of just showing loyalty to the emperor (SUZUKI 1910a, pp. 711–12).

In sum, we can see Suzuki’s gradual division of the presentation of religion into phases of rationalism, social criticism, and non-political, individualistic spirituality. When he stated, “Zen does not allow assumption or presumption, but needs actual experience” (SUZUKI 1912, p. 2), he probably did not consider what he had said about the Russo-Japanese War in 1904. These seemingly contradictory statements on Buddhist social engagement reflected his definition of religion or spirituality derived from his own understanding of reality, which was crystallized into a concept that was separated from the logical world of practicality.

Inoue Shūten’s Critical Buddhism

Although not very many sources are available regarding the life of Inoue Shūten, YOSHIDA Kyūichi (1992), in his pioneering study, brings to light legal documents from the Kōtoku Incident investigation. Moreover, AKAMATSU Tesshin (1989) reveals a detailed picture of his life, through interviewing Inoue’s wife and relatives. ISHII Kōsei (2004) has recently pointed out Inoue’s connections with a Chinese monk, T’ai Hsu 太虛 (1890–1945).²²

Inoue was born into a merchant family in a village in Tottori prefecture, and was sent to a Sōtōshū temple in Kurayoshi in his childhood together with his younger brother. His family also moved to Kurayoshi, where Christian missionaries visited occasionally to spread the Gospel and teach English to the local people, and it was probably there, AKAMATSU assumes, that Inoue first learned English (1989, p. 519). Missionaries of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions had been visiting Tottori since the 1880s, and had eventually opened the Tottori Mission Station in 1890 (ROWLAND 1890; MORIYA 2004b).

21. This kind of conservative vision of the social structure was not unique to Suzuki. In fact, it was quite common for intellectuals of that time. See, for example, the case of Yanagita Kunio (NAKAMURA 1985, pp. 130–70).

22. Other studies on Inoue have also been undertaken by FUKUSHIMA Hirota (1976) and SAHASHI Hōryū (1982). T’ai Hsu is known for having written an appeal to Japanese Buddhists to act against the military aggression in China in the 1930s, only to receive a negative response (NOSE 1997; *Chūgai nippō* 1997). For more on T’ai Hsu in English, see WELCH (1968, p. 56) and PITTMAN (1993, pp. 71–83). I thank Lori Pierce for this information.

Inoue entered Sôtōshū Daigakurin 曹洞宗大学林 in 1895,²³ and then traveled around southern China, Ceylon, India, Burma with Riku Etsugan 陸鉞嚴, and published a travel journal, *Indo jijō* 印度事情 (INOUE 1903, p. 2).²⁴ It is most likely that while meeting Anagarika Dharmapala (1880–1933) (INOUE 1910b, p. 470), he was offered a job as a foreign correspondent for the Sinhalese periodical, *Sarasavi Sandaresa*.²⁵ In 1904, he was drafted into the army, serving as an interpreter until his discharge (due to tuberculosis) the following year. He joined the New Buddhist Society while working at Kobe College, a Christian women's college.²⁶ He was later employed at the United States and British Consulate-Generals, and also assisted the British Ambassador Charles Eliot and Consul Montague PASKE-SMITH with their books, *Japanese Buddhism* (PARLETT 1969, p. ix) and *Japanese Traditions of Christianity* (1930) respectively.

Previous studies show that his thoughts centered on peace and non-violence derived from his studies of Theravada Buddhism. Such a course of ideas most likely led him to become sympathetic to the socialists who openly presented anti-war messages in their weeklies.²⁷ He joined a socialist group, the Kōbe Heimin Club 神戸平民倶楽部, in 1906, which eventually put him in the position of being classified as an important witness in the Kōtoku Incident in 1910. Although Inoue's education at the seminary may not be considered on the same level as that of Suzuki's, what makes it different in terms of an analysis of structural violence in society is his subscriptions to socialist newspapers as well as being a member of the socialist group (TAIGYAKU JIKEN KIROKU KANKŌKAI 1964, pp. 596–97). Unlike Suzuki's abstract notions of warfare and the “spiritual” soldier, Inoue critically reports the cruelty and lack of spirituality among military officers as well as the fallacies of politicians during the Russo-Japanese War (INOUE 1906, pp. 84–85). Such pacifist ideas and a refusal to justify the use of violent means to realize social change might have made him decide not to

23. While YOSHIDA reports that Inoue entered a college in India around 1896 (1992, p. 480), AKAMATSU points out that this was unlikely to have happened to a sixteen-year-old student, and therefore it is more reasonable to say that he entered Sôtōshū Daigakurin in 1895 (1989, pp. 519, 547). SAHASHI, on the other hand, assumes from a message of condolence for Inoue that his status at the seminary was probably as a *senka* student because he had graduated only from a junior high school in Tottori (1982, pp. 31–34).

24. I thank Ishii Kōsei for kindly showing me copies of this book.

25. Although previous studies have stated that he was a correspondent for this Sinhalese periodical, unfortunately I have been unable to find in it any of Inoue's essays in either English or Sinhalese.

26. While Yoshida and Akamatsu affirm his employment at Kobe College, the list of employees does not contain his name (KŌBE JOGAKUIN GOJŪNEN SHUKUGAKAI 1925). It is not known whether this was due to his religious affiliation, though he might have been only a part-time teacher there. For more on the history of Kobe College, see ISHII Noriko (2004).

27. Note that Suzuki's interest in socialism covered social progress and equal opportunity, not the anti-war claims by socialists during the Russo-Japanese War, which put them at constant risk of arrest on charges of social disorder by promoting peace.

associate with a Sôtôshû priest, Uchiyama Gudô 内山愚童 (1874–1911).²⁸ Uchiyama had planned to meet him before being arrested in the Kôto Incident, though the meeting never took place because Inoue pretended to be out. Whether or not it was due to the news media's depiction of the socialists is not known, but it might have been what Inoue had heard about Uchiyama's radical views justifying the use of explosives so as to achieve revolution, which the latter made while touring the Kansai region (YOSHIDA 1992, pp. 421–24).²⁹

Around the time of the raid in September 1910, Inoue wrote a cynical essay on the overzealousness of a schoolteacher, who eventually died in a fire while attempting to remove a photograph of the emperor, the lack of freedom of speech and thought, as well as complaints about the police investigation (INOUE 1910d, p. 1097).³⁰ Following the raid, he wrote a series of articles on peace and war, the first of which describes how appealing for peace in times of peace is “remarkably ordinary,” while demanding peace during wartime can be quite risky and problematic (INOUE 1911, p. 1107). He goes on to state:

War is the greatest sin, whatever the name be given to it.... In sum, war is an uncharitable act to make a profit out of it and commit murder...which is indeed far from humanity.... The true advocates of peace should stand between the warring nations to promote peace for the people as well as to remember “the tremendous evil-doing of war.” (INOUE 1911, p. 1108)

Inoue identifies his own stance as anti-war and peace-loving, and concludes that discourses on peace should be based upon religion so that it can be established in the minds of humanity. Such abstract and “ordinary” discourses do not see the reality of the arms race promoted by an expansionist economy. For this reason, he firmly states that Buddhism and Christianity share the same ideal, which is to create absolute peace without self-interest beyond borders (INOUE 1911, pp. 1110–14). Considering his help in the translation and Japanese notes for a book about the persecution of Christians (PASKE-SMITH 1930), we can find his perception of reality quite different from that of Suzuki's. While the latter saw reality in light of religious/spiritual experience and, as Nakamura Akira

28. For studies on Uchiyama, see for example, YOSHIDA 1992; KASHIWAGI 1979; ISHIKAWA 1982. In English, see VICTORIA 1997 and ISHIKAWA 1998.

29. In his letter to Itô Shôshin 伊藤証信 dated 1 January 1908, Uchiyama expressed his dissatisfaction with the superficial arguments for reform by religionists, and went on to deal with the need to destroy the present government (KASHIWAGI 1979, p. 239). I do not, however, intend to illustrate Uchiyama simply as an assassin or a terrorist. Rather, I think it necessary to consider the desperate situations he and other socialists were forced into, especially after the *Akahata jiken* 赤旗事件 (Red Flag Incident) in 1908, during which fourteen socialists and anarchists were arrested.

30. This issue was banned, YOSHIDA assumes, partly because of Inoue's critical article (1992, pp. 340–41). As Akamatsu has indicated, Inoue decided not to regard himself as a priest of a Sôtôshû presumably because of the subsequent reaction of the Sôtôshû headquarters to the Kôto Incident. (AKAMATSU 1989, p. 521).

describes, finally regarding “reality as a norm” (NAKAMURA 1985, pp. 123–26), Inoue’s harsh criticism of structural injustice shows that he considered the existing socio-political authority as secondary to the Buddhist teachings.

Through translating three chapters of *The Soul of a People* by Harold Fielding-Hall, a district magistrate in rural Burma after the third Burmese War, Inoue was able to introduce a Buddhist stance on peace and war. It was an ethnographic study on the Burmese people and Theravada Buddhism, which was quite a different approach from that of Suzuki who, while in America, expressed that it was the duty of “Japanese Mahayana Buddhists” to disseminate the significance of the Mahayana teachings and replace those of Theravada in Western academia (SUZUKI 2002; MORIYA 2004a).

Meanwhile, Fielding-Hall argues that one of the reasons for the success of British colonization was, “in this war religion had no place.... for all the assistance it was to them in the war, the Burmese might have had no faith at all.” He goes on to explain, “the teachings of the Buddha forbid war. All killing is wrong, all war is hateful; nothing is more terrible than this destroying of our fellow-man” [sic] (FIELDING-HALL 1906, p. 55). Inoue annotated the phrase “*korosu nakare*” 殺す勿れ ([thou] shall not kill) with emphasis in his Japanese translation (INOUE 1912, p. 479), in order to interpret the sentence, “There is absolutely no escaping this commandment” (FIELDING-HALL 1906, p. 55). What contrasts Suzuki’s illustration of the brave Buddhist soldier is the following description, which Inoue did not omit in his translation, namely, “No soldier could be a fervent Buddhist; no nation of Buddhists could be good soldiers; for not only does Buddhism not inculcate bravery, but it does not inculcate obedience. Each man is the ruler of his life, but the very essence of good fighting is discipline, and discipline, subjection, is unknown to Buddhism” (FIELDING-HALL 1906, p. 76).

As a fluent speaker of English, Inoue was well aware of the works of Suzuki. He noted that besides Nukariya Kaiten 忽滑谷快天 (1867–1934), Suzuki was one of the best scholars with a thorough knowledge of Western scholarship (INOUE 1910a, p. 419) and that Suzuki “should not be working at a school like Gakushūin” (INOUE 1910c, p. 1001). Commenting on an article from *Zendō*, Inoue critically wrote that he was indeed surprised at the policy of the editorial committee, with “cosmopolitan” Suzuki as the chief editor, to have agreed to publish such an article (INOUE 1912, p. 1179). This article claimed that an ordinance was needed to demand newspapers and magazines “not to publicize your majesty’s photograph” in order to preserve the dignity of the imperial family, because such periodicals would be discarded without any care or respect (SHIZETSU 1912, p. 7). From then on, Inoue stopped praising Suzuki and after *Shin Bukkyō* ceased publication in 1915, he openly criticized his understanding of Zen in the late 1910s and throughout the 1920s in *Zendō* or other publications.³¹ It can be said

31. For a brief sketch of the arguments, see INOUE 1918 and SUZUKI 1918. The debate between

that Inoue, through his rationalist, ethical Zen, combined with a critical view on structural injustice, pointed out Suzuki's ambivalent socio-political stance.

Conclusion

Having experienced the banning of the *Shin Bukkyō* several times in the 1910s, the editors finally closed it down in 1915. Nevertheless, Suzuki and Inoue continued to advocate Buddhism through other publications and public lectures. As this paper has shown, their individual presentations of this religion differed greatly. While in America, Suzuki realized the urgent "responsibility" of Japanese Buddhists to spread the Mahayana teachings among Westerners, whose perceptions had only been obtained up to then from what was called "Hinayana" in those days, which should demonstrate why he had such enthusiasm for producing so many works in English throughout his lifetime. His academic writings gradually shifted toward a more abstract but crystallized, non-political "spirituality" that would later display itself in the significance of Zen and its relationship with Japanese culture. His political statements were published separately from his religious essays, and became more moderate in tone from the time of the Kōtoku Incident, which occurred just after his return from America.

Inoue, on the other hand, respected Southern Buddhism highly for its absolute pacifism. Such admiration probably came from meeting with Theravada Buddhists during his trips to South and Southeast Asia and his recruitment into the army, for his discourses were mostly written out of his own experiences. In addition, his social analyses were based upon sound knowledge combined with egalitarian ideas acquired from socialism. His rationalist Buddhism taught him to be critical of unjust social structures, even though it meant that his profile would put him under incessant surveillance by plain-clothes policemen and military police over various reasons for the rest of his life. In this sense, Inoue's spiritual transcendence proved to be effective in the political sphere.

Although neither Suzuki nor Inoue identified themselves as socialists, they both advocated equal opportunity and freedom for the welfare of the whole society. The difference was that while SUZUKI's stance was to find it "reasonable" for the authorities to restrict freedom to some extent (1913, p. 899), INOUE pointed out that it was the constitutional right to have freedom of thought and publication (1913, pp. 896–97). These different approaches to political authority, together with the contrastive perception of mystical/rational Zen, brought about rather emotional and exaggerated debates about the different understandings of Zen teachings and the interpretation of its classics (INOUE 1925, pp. 346–47).

In sum, it can be said that *Shin Bukkyō* exemplified itself as a "magnetic field" (AMA 2005, p. 225) among those Buddhists who sought for freedom of thought

the two from the late 1910s to the 1920s requires separate, detailed study. Ishii Kōsei is planning to conduct research on this issue.

and religion and resisted state oppression, most crucially symbolized by the Kōtoku Incident. However moderate they may have been, Suzuki's discourses in this journal illustrated what he imagined to be the best possible society as opposed to the one in his day, which he saw as "narrow-minded." In this sense, his experience in a foreign country enabled him to express himself publicly and freely. Inoue, as a radical critic of Japanese society, openly caricatured the Japanese nation and its culture as lacking in spirituality. In other words, it might be possible to conclude that Suzuki's divided spiritual transcendence contributed to the deconstruction of the commonsensical world order through freeing one's own self within a kind of chaotic conceptual world, whereas Inoue's deconstruction was not separated from that of social engagement and therefore, he was able to reveal a sort of "anti cosmos" (IZUTSU 1989) thus replacing the existing order. The irony, however, is that Suzuki's representation of Zen needed to be related to Japanese culture, while Inoue characterized Zen as a means to criticize the spiritless Japanese people.

REFERENCES

ABBREVIATION

- SDZ *Suzuki Daisetsu zenshū* 鈴木大拙全集, 40 vols. Revised and edited by Hisamatsu Shin'ichi 久松真一, Yamaguchi Susumu 山口益, and Furuta Shōkin 古田紹欽. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, 1999–2003.

OTHER SOURCES

AKAMATSU Tesshin 赤松徹真

- 1989 Inoue Shūten no shisō: Sono shōgai to heiwaron oyobi zen shisō 井上秀天の思想—その生涯と平和論及び禅思想. *Ryūkoku daigaku ronshū* 434–35: 517–53.

AKAMATSU Tesshin and FUKUSHIMA Hirotaka 福嶋寛隆, eds.

- 1982 "*Shin Bukkyō*" *ronsetsushū* 『新仏教』論説集. Supplementary volume. Kyoto: Nagata Bunshōdō.

AMA Toshimaro 阿満利磨

- 2005 *Shūkyō wa kokka o koerareruka: Kindai Nihon no kenshō* 宗教は国家を超えられるか—近代日本の検証. Tokyo: Chikuma Shobō. (originally published as *Kokkashugi o koeru: Kindai Nihon no kenshō* 国家主義を超え—近代日本の検証. Tokyo: Kōdansha, 1994).

Chugai nippō 中外日報

- 1997 Toinaosareru sensō kyōryoku sekinin 問い直される戦争協力責任. 18 November, pp. 12–13.

- DŌSHISHA DAIGAKU JINBUNKAGAKU KENKYŪJO 同志社大学人文科学研究所, ed.
 1984a "Rikugō zasshi" no kenkyū 『六合雑誌』の研究. Tokyo: Kyōbunkan.
 1984b "Rikugō zasshi" sōmokuji 『六合雑誌』総目次. Tokyo: Kyōbunkan.
- ELIOT, Charles
 1969 *Japanese Buddhism*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul. (original edition, London: Edward Arnold, 1935)
- FIELDING-HALL, Harold
 1906 *The Soul of a People*, 4th ed. New York: Macmillan. (original edition, London: R. Bentley, 1898)
- FIELDS, Rick
 1992 *How the Swans Came to the Lake: A Narrative History of Buddhism in America*, 3rd ed. Boston: Shambhala Publications.
- FUKUSHIMA Hirotaka 福嶋寛隆
 1976 Mō hitotsu no hisenron: Nihon teikokushugi kakuritsuki ni bukyōsha to shite もう一つの非戦論—日本帝国主義確立期に仏教者として. *Dendōin kiyō* 18: 54–71.
- HAGIWARA Takao 萩原孝雄
 2001 Japan and the West in D. T. Suzuki's Nostalgic Double Journeys. *The Eastern Buddhist* 33: 129–51.
- HONGANJI SHIRYŌ KENKYŪJO 本願寺史料研究所, ed.
 1969 *Honganjishi* 本願寺史. Vol. 3. Kyoto: Jōdo Shinshū Honganji-ha Shūmusho.
- ICHIKAWA Hakugen 市川白弦
 1975 *Nihon fashizumuka no shūkyō* 日本ファシズム下の宗教. Tokyo: Enuesu Shuppan.
- INOUE Kowashi 井上毅
 1966 Gaikyō seigen iken an 外教制限意見案. In *Inoue Kowashi den* 井上毅伝, Shiryō hen vol. 1 史料篇第一, ed. Inoue Kowashi Denki Hensan Iinkai 井上毅伝記編纂委員会, pp. 9–11. Tokyo: Kokugakuin Daigaku Toshokan.
- INOUE Shūten 井上秀天
 1903 *Indo jijō* 印度事情. Tainan, Taiwan: Ryūsendō Shobō.
 1906 Suma byōkan roku 須磨病間録. *Shin Bukkyō* 7: 84–5.
 1910a Yo no yo, yo no kare (jō) 予の予, 予の彼 (上). *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 47–20.
 1910b Yo no yo, yo no kare (ge) 予の予, 予の彼 (下). *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 468–72.
 1910c Ichi bōjin roku kandai 一忙人六閑題. *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 997–1002.
 1910d Towazaru ni kotau 不問答. *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 1094–98.
 1911 Heibon kiwamaru heiwaron 平凡極まる平和論. *Shin Bukkyō* 12: 1107–14.
 1912a Idainaru heiwaron 偉大なる平和論. *Shin Bukkyō* 13: 35–43.
 1912b Bukkyō to sensō (jō) 仏教と戦争 (上). *Shin Bukkyō* 13: 475–83.
 1912c Bukkyō to sensō (ge) 仏教と戦争 (下). *Shin Bukkyō* 13: 604–10.

- 1912d Shō funkakō 小噴火口. *Shin Bukkyō* 13: 1179–80.
- 1913 Hatsubai kinshi yori gengo [sic] no jiyū e 発売禁止より言語の自由へ. *Shin Bukkyō* 14: 896–97.
- 1918 “Gendai shin’yaku hekiganroku shōkai o yomu” o yomu (Suzuki Daisetsu koji no goichidoku o wazurawasu) 「現代新訳碧巖録詳解を読む」を読む (鈴木大拙居士の御一読を煩はす). *Zendō* 98: 29–35.
- 1925 *Bukkyō no gendaiteki hihan* 仏教の現代的批判. Tokyo: Hōbunkan.
- ISHII Kōsei 石井公成
- 2004 Kindai no Nihon, Chūgoku, Kankoku ni okeru *Daijō kishinron* no kenkyū dōkō 近代の日本, 中国, 韓国における『大乘起信論』の研究動向. Paper read at the Hikaku Bukkyō Bunka Gakujutsu Kentōkai, 27 November, Bukkōsanji, Osaka.
- ISHII Noriko Kawamura
- 2004 *American Women Missionaries at Kobe College, 1873–1909: New Dimensions in Gender*. New York: Routledge.
- ISHIKAWA Rikizan 石川力山
- 1998 The Social Response of Buddhists to the Modernization of Japan: The Contrasting Lives of Two Sōtō Zen Monks. *Japanese Journal of Religious Studies* 25: 87–115.
- ISHIKAWA Rikizan, ed.
- 1982 *Uchiyama Gudō shū* 内山愚童集. Sōtōshū sensho 曹洞宗選書. Kyoto: Dōhō Shuppan.
- ISHIKAWA Takuboku 石川啄木
- 1970 Jidai heisoku no genjō (kyōken, junsui shizenshugi no saigo oyobi asu no kōsatsu) 時代閉塞の現状(強権, 純粹自然主義の最後及び明日の考察). In *Ishikawa Takuboku shū* 石川啄木集, ed. Odagiri Hideo 小田切秀雄, pp. 259–64. Meiji bungaku zenshū 明治文学全集. Tokyo: Chikuma Shobō.
- ITŌ Hirobumi 伊藤博文
- 1889 *Teikoku kenpō kōshitsu tenpan gige* 帝国憲法皇室典範義解. Tokyo: Tetsugaku Shoin.
- IZUTSU Toshihiko 井筒俊彦
- 1989 *Kosumosu to anchi kosumosu* コスモスとアンチコスモス. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten.
- KAMISHIMA Jirō 神島二郎
- 1961 *Kindai Nihon no seishin kōzō* 近代日本の精神構造. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten.
- KASHIWAHARA Yūsen 柏原祐泉
- 1973a Kinsei no haibutsu shisō 近世の排仏思想. In *Kinsei Bukkyō no shisō* 近世仏教の思想, *Nihon shisō taikai* 日本思想大系 vol. 57, ed. Kashiwahara Yūsen and Fujii Manabu 藤井学, pp. 517–32. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten.

- 1973b Gohō shisō to shomin kyōka 護法思想と庶民教化. In *Kinsei Bukkyō no shisō*, ed. Kashiwahara Yūsen and Fujii Manabu, pp. 533–56. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten.
- KASHIWAGI Ryūhō 柏木隆法
- 1979 *Taigyaku jiken to Uchiyama Gudō* 大逆事件と内山愚童. Tokyo: JCA Shuppan.
- KETELAAR, James Edward
- 1990 *Of Heretics and Martyrs in Meiji Japan: Buddhism and Its Persecution*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- KIRITA Kiyohide 桐田清秀
- 1994 D. T. Suzuki on Society and the State. In *Rude Awakenings: Zen, the Kyoto School, & the Question of Nationalism*, ed. Heisig, James W., and John C. Maraldo, pp. 52–74. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- 1996 Young D. T. Suzuki's Views on Society. *The Eastern Buddhist* 24: 109–33.
- KIRITA Kiyohide, ed.
- 2005 *Suzuki Daisetsu kenkyū kiso shiryō* 鈴木大拙研究基礎資料. Kamakura: Matsugaoka Bunko.
- KÔBE JOGAKUIN GOJŪEN SHUKUGAKAI 神戸女学院五十年祝賀会, ed.
- 1925 *Kôbe jogakuinshi* 神戸女学院史. Kobe: Kôbe Jogakuin Gojūnen Shukugakai.
- KÔSAKA Masaaki 高坂正顕
- 1961 Shisōshi no hōhō gainen to shite no sedai no gainen to sono toriatsukai ni tsuite 思想史の方法概念としての世代の概念とその取り扱いについて. In *Shisōshi no hōhō to taishō: Nihon to seiō* 思想史の方法と対象—日本と西欧, ed. Takeda Kiyoko 武田清子, pp. 35–73. Tokyo: Sōbunsha.
- MARUYAMA Masao 丸山真男
- 1986 “*Bunmeiron no gairyaku*” o yomu 「文明論之概略」を読む. Vol. 1. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten.
- 1992 *Chūsei to hangyaku: Tenkanki Nihon no seishinshi teki isō* 忠誠と反逆—転換期日本の精神史的位相. Tokyo: Chikuma Shobō.
- MATSUMOTO Sannosuke 松本三之助
- 1996 *Meiji shisōshi: Kindai kokka no sōsetsu kara ko no kakusei made* 明治思想史—近代国家の創設から個の覚醒まで. Tokyo: Shin'yōsha.
- MOHR, Michel
- 1998 Japanese Zen Schools and the Transition to Meiji: A Plurality of Responses in the Nineteenth Century. *Japanese Journal of Religious Studies* 25: 167–213.
- MORI Ryūkichi 森龍吉
- 1976 Nihon ni okeru “shūkyō kaikaku” no tokuisei 日本における「宗教改革」の特異性. In *Nihon kindai no kenkyū* 日本近代化の研究 vol. 1, ed.

Takahashi Kōhachirō 高橋幸八郎, pp. 399–446. Tokyo: Tōkyō Daigaku Shuppankai.

MORIYA Tomoe 守屋友江

2004a Discourses on “Americanization” and “tradition” in Issei and Nisei Buddhist publications. Paper read at the Issei Buddhism Conference, 5 September, University of California, Irvine.

2004b Auto sutēshon kara sutēshon e: Okayama sutēshon no keisei to chiiki shakai アウトステーションからステーションへ—岡山ステーションの形成と地域社会. In *American bōdo senkyōshi: Kōbe, Ōsaka, Kyōto sutēshon o chūshin ni, 1869–1890 nen*, アメリカン・ボード宣教師—神戸、大阪、京都ステーションを中心に、一八六九—一八九〇年, ed. Dōshisha Daigaku Jinbunkagaku Kenkyūjo 同志社大学人文科学研究所, pp. 99–127. Tokyo: Kyōbunkan.

NAKAMURA Akira 中村哲

1985 *Shinpan Yanagita Kunio no shisō* 新版柳田国男の思想. Tokyo: Hōsei Daigaku Shuppankyoku.

NOSE Eisui 野世英水

1997 Senjika ni okeru Nicchū Bukkōto no dōkō 戦時下における日中仏教徒の動向. Paper read at the 56th Annual Convention of the Nihon Shūkyō Gakkai (Japanese Association for Religious Studies), 13–15 September, Tokyo.

PARLETT, Harold

1969 In Piam Memoriam. In *Japanese Buddhism*, Charles Eliot, pp. vii–xxxiv. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul. (original edition, London: Edward Arnold, 1935)

PASKE-SMITH, Montague, ed.

1930 *Japanese Traditions of Christianity: Being Some Old Translations from the Japanese, with British Consular Reports of the Persecutions of 1868–1872*. Japanese notes by Shuten Inouye. Kobe: J. L. Thompson.

PITTMAN, Don A.

1993 The Modern Buddhist Reformer T'ai Hsu on Christianity. *Buddhist Christian Studies* 13: 71–83.

ROWLAND, George

1890 Annual Report of Okayama-Tottori Station. In *Annual Report of the Work of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions in Japan*, pp. 36–40. Tokyo: Seishi Bunsha.

SAHASHI Hōryū 佐橋法龍

1982 *Inoue Shūten* 井上秀天. Tokyo: Meicho Fukyūkai.

SEAGER, Richard Hughes

1995 *The World's Parliament of Religions: The East/West Encounter, Chicago, 1893*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Shaku Sōen 釈宗演

- 1906 *Sermons of a Buddhist Abbot: Addresses on Religious Subjects, including the Sutra of Forty-two Chapters*. Translated by Daisetz Teitaro Suzuki. Chicago: Open Court.

SHARF, Robert H.

- 1994 Whose Zen?: Zen Nationalism Revisited. In *Rude Awakenings: Zen, the Kyoto School, & the Question of Nationalism*, ed. Heisig, James W., and John C. Maraldo, pp. 40–51. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- 1995 The Zen of Japanese Nationalism. In *Curators of the Buddha: The Study of Buddhism under Colonialism*, ed. Donald S. Lopez, pp. 107–60. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

SHIZETSU [Shōin] 四絶 [小蔭]

- 1912 Shigi nisoku 私議二則. *Zendō* 27: 6–9.

SNODGRASS, Judith

- 2003 *Presenting Japanese Buddhism to the West: Orientalism, Occidentalism, and the Columbian Exposition*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

SUZUKI Daisetsu (Teitarō) 鈴木大拙 (貞太郎)

- 1894 Anjin ritsumei no chi: shōzen 安心立命之地(承前). *Shūkyō* 28: 152–61.
- 1898 Tabi no tsurezure 旅のつれづれ. *Rikugō zasshi* 210: 68–73.
- 1900 Zassai zō 雑碎蔵. *Rikugō zasshi* 230: 57–64.
- 1901 Shakai minshutō no kettō kinshi ni tsukite (shakaishugi no shūkyōteki kiso) 社会民主党の結党禁止につきて(社会主義の宗教的基礎). *Rikugō zasshi* 249: 43–47.
- 1904a Beikoku katainaka dayori 米国片田舎だより. *Shin Bukkyō* 5: 409–412.
- 1904b A Buddhist View of War. *Light of Dharma* 4: 179–82. The Buddhist Churches of America Collection, the Japanese American National Museum, Los Angeles, CA.
- 1910a Ryokuin mango 緑陰漫語. *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 711–15.
- 1910b Ayamareru Nihon no bunmei 誤れる日本の文明. *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 1073–79.
- 1910c Aratamu beki Nihon no aku fūshū 改むべき日本の悪風習. *Shin Bukkyō* 11: 1134–40.
- 1911a Fūki no sitei ni atauru sho 富貴の子弟に与ふる書. *Shin Bukkyō* 12: 106–22.
- 1911b Kikyō Zen 基教禪. *Zendō* 14: 1–5.
- 1911c Zenteki shōgai 禪的生涯. *Zendō* 10: 6–10.
- 1912 Zengaku ron sūsoku 禅学論数則. *Zendō* 19: 1–6.
- 1913 [Hatsubai kinshi yori genron no jiyū e] 発売禁止より言論の自由へ. *Shin Bukkyō* 14: 899–900.

- 1918 “Gendai shin’yaku hekiganroku shōkai” o yomu 「現代新訳碧巖録詳解」を読む. *Zendō* 97: 13–21.
- 1938 *Zen Buddhism and its Influence on Japanese Culture*. Kyoto: The Eastern Buddhist Society.
- 1959 *Zen and Japanese Culture*. Bollingen Series. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- 2002 Waga Nihon no Daijō Bukkōto ga sekai ni okeru shūkyōteki sekinin 我日本の大乗仏教徒が世界に於ける宗教的責任. *SDZ* 30, pp. 219–225. (Original, *Sōkō Bukkyō seinenkai kaihō* 1/4, 1900)
- TAKEDA Kiyoko 武田清子
1962 Rikugō zasshi 六合雑誌. *Shisō* 462: 109–20.
- TANAKA Hideo 田中英夫, ed.
1976 *The Japanese Legal System: Introductory Cases and Materials*. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press.
- TAIGYAKU JIKEN KIROKU KANKŌKAI 大逆事件記録刊行会
1964 *Shōkobutsu utsushi* 証拠物写し. Taigyaku jiken kiroku 大逆事件記録, vol. 2 (latter volume). Tokyo: Sekai Bunko.
- THELLE, Notto R.
1987 *Buddhism and Christianity in Japan: From Conflict to Dialogue, 1854–1899*. Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press.
- TŌKYŌ TEIKOKU DAIGAKU 東京帝国大学
1932 *Tōkyō teikoku daigaku gojūnenshi* 東京帝国大学五十年史, vol. 1. Tokyo: Tōkyō Teikoku Daigaku.
- TWEED, Thomas A.
2000 *The American Encounter with Buddhism, 1844–1912: Victorian Culture and the Limits of Dissent*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press. (original edition, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1992)
- 2005 American Occultism and Japanese Buddhism: Albert J. Edmunds, D. T. Suzuki, and Transnational Religious Flows. Paper read at panel, Local Buddhisms and Transnational Contacts, 1868–1945. The XIXth World Congress of the International Association for the History of Religions, 30 March, Tokyo.
- VICTORIA, Brian A.
1997 *Zen at War*. New York: Weatherhill.
- WELCH, Holmes
1968 *The Buddhist Revival in China*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- YANAGITA Kunio 柳田国男
1994 *Meiji Taishō shi sesōhen* 明治大正史世相篇. Tokyo: Heibonsha. (original edition, Tokyo: Asahi Shinbunsha, 1931)

YOSHIDA Kyūichi 吉田久一

- 1992 *Nihon kindai Bukkyōshi kenkyū* 日本近代仏教史研究. Tokyo: Kawashima Shoten. (original edition, Tokyo: Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1959)

YOSHINAGA Shin'ichi 吉永進一

- 2005 Daisetsu to Suēdenborugu: Sono rekishiteki haikai 大拙とスウェーデンボルグーその歴史的背景. *Shūkyō tetsugaku kenkyū* 22: 33–50.

YOSHINAGA Shin'ichi and NOZAKI Kōichi 野崎晃市

- 2005 Hirai Kinza to Nihon no Yunitarianizumu 平井金三と日本のユニテリアニズム. *Maizuru kōgyō kōtō senmon gakkō kiyō* 40: 124–33.